

# POWERFACTS EUROPE 2019



**CHAPTER 1 -** Security of Supply 11 1.1 Reliability of the Power System 15 1.2 Adequacy Forecast 20 1.4 Ancillary Services and Congestion Management 26 1.5 Ramping Needs 28 1.6 Regional Cooperation & System Operations Codes

# **CHAPTER 2 -** Sustainability

- 35 2.1 Carbon Intensity 38 2.2 Energy Efficiency
- 41 2.3 Renewable Deployment

# **CHAPTER 3 - Market Integration**

- 45 3.1 Market Coupling
- 48 3.2 Price Convergence
- 51 3.3 Physical Energy Flows
- 53 3.4 Market Network Codes

# **CHAPTER 4 -** Customers

- 57 4.1 Demand Side Response
- 61 4.3 Prosumers and Smart Consumer Technologies
- 65 4.5 Retail Electricity Prices

# **CHAPTER 5 -** Infrastructure Development

- 71 5.1 TSO Investments into Grid Development
- 75 5.2 Interconnection Target
- 76 5.3 Projects of Common Interest
- 84 5.5 Connection Network Codes

# CHAPTER 6 - Cyber Physical Grid

- 89 6.2 Data accessibility

# Enabling the energy transition

Europe's energy transition is a flagship project, set to deliver an integrated market, a clean energy system and a high level of security of supply for more than 500 million citizens. The European Clean Energy Package frames the next decade for energy policy and puts the EU on a path towards at least a 40% reduction in greenhouse areas of the European gas emissions, a 32.5 % energy efficiency increase and a binding target for renewable energy (32%) all by 2030. energy transition. Furthermore, the historic Paris Climate Agreement in 2015 highlighted the need for stepping up efforts on climate action. Europe is keen to play a leading role here.

ENTSO-E is the association of European Transmission System Operators (TSOs) and was established ten years Complexity and presents ago by the Third Energy Package. The organisation is assigned with important legal mandates, which reflects that TSO cooperation is essential in achieving the energy and climate targets set by European leaders. This is **clear and concise fashion**, through their pivotal role as market facilitators, drivers of innovation, and operators a large interconnected energy system with high reliability.

Europe's energy transition involves an unprecedented shift in the way markets, networks, technology and policy interplay with one another. Understanding and highlighting those dynamics is an important part of enabling the energy transition. Robust data is essential in setting out a clear picture and aiding future choices. TSOs process large amounts of data as regulated entities with strict requirements in terms of transparency. PowerFacts Europe is gathering this public data in a single place complementing it when needed by other reference sources.

**ENTSO-E** PowerFacts Europe sheds light on key

It aims to cut through this transformation in a informing the debate on the energy transition.

# **Paris Agreement**

- target 1.5°C this century.
- determinded contribution (NDC).
- Parties to reach global peaking of greenhouse gas emissions as soon as possible.

# The Clean Energy for all Europeans Package

This package includes important updates to renewables and energy efficiency targets which have been adopted as of December 2018. The changes included in the package are intended to facilitate the transition by increasing interconnection targets and putting customers at the heart of transition amongst other key elements. Together this framework is needed to achieve the Energy Union and deliver on the EU's Paris Agreement commitments.

# 2020 2030 2050

# **CLEAN ENERGY** PACKAGE TARGETS

- energy efficency
- 32% of energy from

reduction in GHGs



• Sets a common-goal to keep global warming well below 2°C, and to • Each 5 years: Parties to prepare, communicate and maintain a nationally

• 15% interconnection target

# **ENERGY STRATEGY**

• 80-95% reduction in GHGs by decarbonising the entire energy system

The 2050 Energy Roadmap explores the scope of available opportunities e.g. energy efficiency, nuclear energy, renewable energy and carbon capture and storage.

# About the PowerFacts Europe report

The PowerFacts Europe report provides data and analysis in six key areas combining the traditional 'energy trilemma' that energy observers will recognise:

- 1. Security of supply;
- 2. Sustainability;
- 3. Affordability (described here in terms of market integration driving affordability)

with three new areas that are emerging as key within the energy transition:

- 4. Customers;
- 5. Infrastructure development;
- 6. Cyber Physical Grid.



# These six areas denominate the main energy transition challenges. For each area, relevant data points have been included showing the width of issues related to the electricity system transformation.

In addition to using ENTSO-E's own datapoints as the main data source, this PowerFacts Europe report also draws on additional external data to provide a complete and authoritative picture that will enrich the discussion on progress towards the energy transition.

# Summary of findings

# 1. Security of supply

TSOs and NEMOs (Nominated Electricity Market Operator) have made good progress in coupling day-Europe enjoys one of the world's most reliable power ahead and intraday markets, delivering the regulatory grid, and the prime objective is to maintain this, as new alignment needed to allow for optimal matching of challenges arise over time. Innovation, cooperation bids and offers between zones. However, cross-zonal and transparent information-sharing will be needed to capacity challenges remain an important area for further cost-effectively manage operations. A new variability collaboration in 2019. Here, Market Network Codes will paradigm, resulting from solar and wind generation continue to play a crucial role in harmonising regulations deployment highlights a greater focus on flexibility in the to deliver fair, efficient and safe trading outcomes across system across Europe. Europe.

CHAPTER HIGHLIGHT: In 2017, only 2 minor incidents CHAPTER HIGHLIGHT: 26 countries have coupled were registered on the transmission grid and both took their day-ahead electricity markets - together these place in isolated systems (islands). countries account for over 90% of European electricity consumption and this brings together over 400 million people. This progress has been reflected in an increase in 2. Sustainability the efficiency of interconnector use for day-ahead trades. Welfare gains are also significant when one considers the European leaders have committed to ambitious integration of balancing markets with an average of 400 decarbonisation targets. As of December 2018, new million euros per year.

2030 targets have been adopted which set a renewable energy target of 32%, and energy efficiency improvements at 32.5% alongside the existing 40% greenhouse gas emission target. The Paris Agreement and the Commission's 2050 long-term strategy lead towards near to full decarbonisation of the power system.

CHAPTER HIGHLIGHT: TSOs have facilitated the integration of 54 GWs of additional variable Renewable Energy Sources (RES) (wind and solar) capacity since 2015. This is nearly double the wind and solar capacity added in the US since 2015<sup>1</sup>.

# 3. Market Integration

**CHAPTER HIGHLIGHT:** Markets are opening to new Market integration delivers welfare to European citizens, participants and services. The reported volume of enables the integration of larger quantities of variable demand side response in balancing markets increased renewables, and helps maintain security of supply by 260% in France, 112% in Finland, and 143% in Belgium through the creation of a larger, more liquid and efficient from 2016 to 2017. energy markets.

# 4. Customers

The power system should be opened up to greater customer participation. Initial progress has been made in encouraging industrial and household customers to offer energy services, but more should be done to establish the products, incentives and market-conditions needed to expand participation and help customers become solution providers.

Whilst the benefits of the energy transition are increasingly evident, it's important that the 50+ million Europeans in energy poverty are not left behind.

1 Source: EPA Electric Power Annual table 04 02. US solar and wind capacity increased by 28GW between 2015-2017.

# 5. Infrastructure Development

In its 2040 No Grid scenario, ENTSO-E illustrated that a lack of investment in the transmission system would curtailment of renewable electricity, and harm security of supply. Whilst the development of physical infrastructure is only one part of the wider solution, it is fundamental to delivering a cost-effective energy transition. For Europe to maintain resource adequacy, infrastructure will need of consumption and demand, couple-sectors, as well as new services and empower customers. integrating increasing volumes of renewable energy.

Among others, a combination of new transmission and storage projects will be needed to enable a secure and cost-effective transition to a low-emission electricity investment.

CHAPTER HIGHLIGHT: The Ten-Year Network CHAPTER HIGHLIGHT: The number of registered users Development Plan (TYNDP) envisages €114bn worth the European customers. Without investment in grid improves coordination across regions. infrastructure, under the ENTSO-E European Power System 2040 'No Grid' scenario, there is projected to be an extra system cost of €43bn per year by 2040.

# 6. Cyber physical Grid

Digitalisation means the increased use of information and communication technology (ICT) and enables the increase marginal prices by 3%-29%, increase the timely and transparent transfer of large amounts of data with extremely low transaction costs. These signals – to market participants and system operators – create the opportunity to optimise the energy market, supported by new service propositions, increased system flexibility and secure grid operation. This emerging digital layer – sitting to allow system operators to address variable patterns atop existing physical grid infrastructure – will support

Open and transparent data availability is key to enabling the digital grid. ENTSO-E is already enabling these developments through the publication of data on the Transparency Platform, and the coordination of big data research and innovation projects. Additionally, progress system. Accurate assessment of system needs will be made by TSOs in establishing the Common Grid Model crucial in providing a solid ground for future infrastructure (CGM) will define an agreed dataset for harmonised simulation of the power system.

on ENTSO-E's Transparency Platform increased from of grid projects are to be invested in by 2040. TSOs are 6,700 in 2016 to 12,000 in 2017. More open data already delivering infrastructure today, with 59% of active facilitates informed and efficient decision-making from Projects of Common Interest (PCIs) delivered either on- all market participants, increasing trust, and creating the time or ahead of schedule. However, 25% of the projects foundations for the development of new services and are delayed, mainly due to a lack of public acceptance. solutions. TSOs have agreed to a Common Grid Model, Not developing grids in time translates to high costs for creating a consistent methodology for forecasting which

# PowerFacts Europe 2019 chapters and subchapters Security of supply Sustainabillity Market integration



European power system operators have a good record in ensuring that supply meets demand second-by-second and preventing the most serious incidents from disrupting lives and economies

# **CHAPTER 1 -** Security of Supply

Even with a rapidly changing energy system, Europeans continue to enjoy a high level of security of supply. As this chapter will show, Europe has an excellent record in delivering reliable power, with demand curtailments being an extremely rare event (1.1 Reliability of the power system). In addition, ENTSO-E has developed advanced modelling methodology to assess risk of loss of load expectation– a security of supply indicator- in the coming decade (1.2 Adequacy forecast). Monitoring is key to ensure that these high security of supply levels can be maintained.

System operators are developing new tools and solutions from regional cooperation to digitalisation. The aim is to connect the dots between different sectors of the economy, markets, and systems; between the actors of the power system. countries and regions.

Digitisation empowers customers and enables new energy services. Such services can support flexible demand-response to variable generation (1.6 Ramping needs) and allow more effective maintenance and operation of the network (e.g. 6.1 Big data). The Internet of Energy brings new challenges such as risks of cyberattacks (1.3 Cybersecurity).

PowerFacts Europe tracks these developments and provides readers with an overview of key datapoints and trends.

# 1.1 Reliability of the Power System

# Context

European power system operators have a good record in ensuring that supply meets demand second-by-second and preventing the most serious incidents - blackouts from disrupting lives and economies. History illustrates the potential impact of such incidents. For instance, in 2006 an incident on the North German transmission grid led to a split of the interconnected power system of Continental Europe, leading to 15 million households experiencing power supply disruption across 20 countries<sup>3</sup>.





<sup>2</sup> Moments in time when demand for electricity cannot or is not met by supply, it is therefore curtailed.3 https://www.entsoe.eu/fileadmin/user\_upload/\_library/publica-

<sup>3</sup> https://www.entsoe.eu/fileadmin/user\_upload/\_library/publications/ce/otherreports/Final-Report-20070130.pdf

# Figure 1 - Network incident classification scale

	Scale 0 Anomaly		Scale 1 Noteworthy incidents		Scale 2 Extensive incidents		Scale 3 Major or widespread incidents	
F	Priority / Short definition		Priority / Short definition		Priority / Short definition		Short definition	
#17	Incidents leading to frequency degradation (F0)	#9	Incidents on load (L1)	#2	Incidents on load (L2)	#1	Blackout (0B3)	
#18	Incidents on transmission network elements (TO)	#10	Incidents leading to frequency degradation (F1)	#3	Incidents leading to frequency degradation (F2)			
#19	Incidents on power generating facilities (GO)	#11	Incidents on transmission network elements (T1)	#4	Incidents on transmission network elements (T2)			
#20	Violation of standards on voltage (OVO)	#12	Incidents on power generating facilities (G1)	#5	Incidents on power generating facilities (G2)			
#21	Lack of reserve (ORO)	#13	N-1 violation (ON1)	#6	N violation (ON2)			
		#14	Violation of standards on voltage (OV1)	#7	Separation from the grid (RS2)			
		#15	Lack of reserve (0R1)	#8	Loss of tools and facilities (LT2)			
		#16	Loss of tools and facilities (LT1)					

## Trends

provides a summarised view of the number and severity exchange capacities. of incidents across Europe over recent years.

13% more than in 2016. Despite this increase, more than reporting this at scale 0. Incidents at power generation 60% of the incidents were characterised as anomalies facilities at scale 1 occurred only in isolated systems. (scale 0). These are the least serious incidents recorded Isolated system – islands (Cyprus and Iceland) – were the by European TSOs. During these events the system only areas to both report a scale 2 incident which involves remains within operational security limits and returns to the power system entering an emergency state after the a normal state after the incident.

At the other end of the scale, there were no major or widespread blackout incidents, scale 3 events during 2017. Figure 5 provides an overview of the distribution between incident types in 2017.

As shown in Figure 2, at the synchronous area level, Continental Europe reported the highest number of incidents (largely scale 0 and 1) followed by Great Britain.

All synchronous areas apart from isolated systems and All ENTSO-E members monitor and report incidents the Nordic areas reported a higher number of scale 1 using a consistent methodology and classification scale incidents compared with scale 0 incidents. This was based on severity (see Figure 1). The following analysis due to incidents on High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) is the result of examining each of these reports and interconnectors leading to reductions in cross-border

Most incidents occurred on transmission network A total of 1,072 incidents were reported by TSOs in 2017, elements (73% of all incidents) with all synchronous areas event with one or more of the operational security limits being breached (see Table 1).

# Figure 2 - 2017 network-related incidents classified by severity







# Network-related incidents by scale, 2017

## Number of incidents by synchronous area



# Table 1 - Summary of 2017 network incidents by scale and synchronous area



Both scale 2 events involved a momentary loss of Higher severity incidents (scale 2 and 3) have been load. The first - caused by tripping during maintenance negligible in absolute numbers and as a proportion of work on a power plant - led to the loss of 690 MW in overall incidents over time. Scale 0 incidents have been generation and a loss of load of approximately 614 MW. generally decreasing from just over 80% in 2013 to 63% The second incident was caused by a transmission line in 2017. However, they still account for the highest fault which resulted in a 5-minute frequency deviation, proportion of overall incidents. This decrease has been the subsequent loss of generation (229 MW) and load offset by a rise in scale 1 incidents which have increased disconnection (96 MW).

from 15% in 2013 to 36% in 2017.

# Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) 2017 Incident Classification Scale Annual Report 2017.

Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/SOC%20documents/Incident\_Classification\_Scale/180925\_ICS\_report\_2017.pdf

Royal Academy of Engineering (2014) Counting the cost: the economic and social costs of electricity shortfalls in the UK.

Available from: https://www.raeng.org.uk/publications/reports/counting-the-cost European Commission (2007) Blackout of November 2006: important lessons to be drawn.

Available from: http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release\_IP-07-110\_en.htm?locale=en

# 1.2 Adequacy forecast

Context ENTSO-E analysis provides deep insight into the relative likelihood of network disruption in European A secure and reliable supply of electricity is a necessary requirement of a well-functioning economy. Reducing countries. The Mid-term Adequacy Forecast (MAF), the likelihood of system disturbances or, in the extreme, assesses the adequacy of available supply resources to load (demand) shedding is a fundamental objective of meet simulated demand scenarios across Europe. This European energy policy. The data in figure 4 from the annual exercise provides an overview of the state-ofplay across a ten-year time frame. Ten years is usually World Bank compares the proportion of companies experiencing electrical outages in some parts of the the time frame during which policy makers, investors world. It shows the Euro area outperforms the OECD and market participants make strategic decisions so as to allow the power system to deliver a targeted level country average. of adequacy. Under the Clean Energy Package, the new This will remain a key consideration as the energy system EU legislative package for electricity, a pan-European transitions rapidly over coming years. The integration resource adequacy assessment developed by ENTSO-E, of new forms of generation - diverse in location with an extended scope compared to the current MAF, and operation profile – as well as rapidly changing shall in the future complement and challenge national demand from new consumer technologies powered by assessments.

breakthroughs in technology, poses a different set of Such forecasting exercises focus on the likelihood challenges for grid operators.



# Trends

of unusual events disrupting supply. The results are derived from many simulations providing a probabilistic interpretation of the likelihood of lack of supply.

One such metric explored in the MAF and covered here, is loss-of-load expectation (LOLE). Simply put, it is the average number of hours per year in which it is statistically expected that there is not sufficient power supply in the market to cover demand. Note that this is not translated in a blackout as the analysis keeps security margin for unforeseen events near real time. Again, it is a probabilistic assessment based on models, and as mentioned earlier, figures show that Europe has a relatively good record in ensuring security of supply.

Crucially, such assessments are based on the best available estimates of generation, storage, transmission and demand-side data. Pertinently for all electricity market operators, LOLE is therefore influenced by any expected change in the whole system, (e.g. available generation and storage, demand profile, grid infrastructure...). That's why a yearly updated edition of the MAF is important to support monitoring European security of supply.

The previous MAF 2017 identified potential challenges in Poland, Finland and Sicily, with relatively high LOLE simulation results for 2025. The latest MAF 2018 shows less adequacy risks for most European countries and power system zones, including Poland, Finland and Sicily.

# Figure 4 - Firms experiencing electrical outages by region, 2017



the MAF 2018 simulations. The larger dots signify higher is a stress-test and considers 'what if' an estimated estimates and suggest potential challenges in peripheral number of thermal units at risk of shutting down are countries.

dots at all. This signifies that the expected loss of load in resources to be developed (DSR, storage, generation and best estimate 2025 in these Member States is very low interconnection). More detailed analysis is available in the - i.e. the security of supply outlook is positive in the MAF 2018 MAF. 2018 edition. Each edition is a last update of the best European security of supply for a decade ahead.

In the MAF 2018, a low-carbon sensitivity scenario The Clean Energy Package reinforces the role of the MAF considers some of the potential changes, specifically and methodologies between pan-European, regional and the thermal generators at risk of being closed over national assessments will need to be streamlined.

Figure 5 shows the base case LOLE figures for 2025 from the next 7 years due to environmental policies. This not replaced by other resources. Figure 5 illustrates the results and shows increased LOLE in this low-carbon Note that many countries have either very small or no scenario as expected and highlights the need for other

estimate, so any investment by market actors (either The ENTSO-E MAF provides detailed and state of the in new resource or decommissioning) would change art analysis of security of supply from the pan-European the outlook. The MAF hence has a role of watchdog for point of view. It is complemented by further studies at regional and national levels.

# **Figure 5 -** Comparison of 2025 LOLE from the base case and low-carbon sensitivity scenarios in the 2018 MAF



Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) Mid-term adequacy forecast 2018. Available from: https://www.entsoe.eu/outlooks/midterm/ ENTSO-E (2017) Mid-term adequacy forecast 2017. Available from: https://www.entsoe.eu/outlooks/midterm/ World Bank (2018) Firms experiencing electrical outages (% of firms). Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IC.ELC.OUTG.ZS

LOLE (h) - Low-Carbon Sensitivity 2025 .1 .5 .10 .15 .20



# 1.3 Cybersecurity

# Context

the energy network offers economic benefits and is operations. crucially tied to the success of the energy transition. The development of the digital grid on top of an extended and adapted physical grid will be key to Europe's energy transition.

The cyber-physical grid will rely increasingly on power (70% of total expenditure) which are designed to protect platforms that connect the dots within the power system organisations from cyberattacks. Increasingly, much of vertically and horizontally, and between other sectors of these services are outsourced and procured externally via the economy and energy vectors (e.g. the gas-grid, heat and transport). The cybersecurity risks associated with such digital platforms and devices need to be equally well understood if Europe is to ensure that the power system interconnected and is relying on interoperability of remains resilient to attack.

Indeed, business surveys suggest that the energy industry has some of the highest annual costs of cybercrime (see Figure 6). Energy companies are increasingly aware that The increasing digitalisation of systems and assets within cybersecurity is a risk to key infrastructure and business

# Trends

The European cybersecurity market is expected to have been worth a forecasted \$25bn in 2018, up 72% on the level in 2012. This spending is on products and services managed security offerings.

The European power grid is becoming more and more the national high, medium and low voltage grids and

Figure 6 - Comparative annual costs of cybercrime by industry (source: Accenture)



# Comparative average annual cost of cybercrime

operational processes. TSOs are connected bilaterally, Since 2017, ENTSO-E is actively participating to the regionally (in RSCs) and at pan-European level (ENTSO-E). European Commission Smart Grids Task Force (SGTF) Furthermore, as market facilitators, TSOs must interact dedicated working group on cybersecurity. This group with market platforms. In the future, the IT interface gathers expert members from TSOs, DSOs, vendors, between TSOs and DSOs and between TSOs and new aggregators and manufacturers and produces regular market players will expand to enable the energy transition. reports.

As all TSOs (and DSOs) are highly connected and therefore In the future, there is scope for European institutions to rely on each other on both technical levels and for decide to develop a dedicated European Network Code on operational processes, incidents occurring in the IT/OT cybersecurity if the need is identified. landscape of one TSO can/will have effect on other TSOs and (ultimately) the security of supply.

The importance of cybersecurity as an issue for all network operators across Europe has been reflected in actions taken by ENTSO-E and other pan-European partners.

This strategy is clearly aimed at mitigating the risks specifically related to the power sector. In the same spirit, ENTSO-E is cooperating with DSOs and notably the European association E.DSO on cybersecurity and organising dedicated events and workshops. ENTSO-E has also signed a memorandum of understanding with the European Network for Cyber Security (ENCS) to support the development of cyber security proof practices and standards.



## Sources:

Accenture (2017) Cost of Cybercrime study: Insights on the security investments that make the difference. Available from: https://www.accenture.com/t20170926T072837Z\_w\_/us-en/\_acnmedia/PDF-61/Accenture-2017-CostCyberCrimeStudy.pdf Smart Grids Task Force – Expert Group 2, Cybersecurity (2018) 2<sup>nd</sup> Interim Report. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/sgtf\_eg2\_2nd\_interim\_report\_final.pdf





# 1.4 Ancillary Services and **Congestion Management**

# Context

typically linked to market facilitation activities of the TSOs.

This report is including these activities under the Security of Supply chapter for the purpose of highlighting the nature of the operational challenges that the TSOs face in a system with an increasing share of variable renewables. This is to raise awareness also on the need to develop solutions to meet these challenges being in Remedial actions market design, innovation, efficiency, demand response, infrastructure development and upgrading, storage.

# Ancillary services

Ancillary services help system operators maintain and restore the balance between supply and demand of electricity after the (wholesale) market has closed. They play an important role in ensuring that the electricity system is balanced second by second and can respond flexibly to sudden changes in supply or demand. These services include Frequency Containment Reserve (FCR), Automatic Frequency Restoration Reserve (aFRR) and Manual Frequency Restoration Reserve (mFRR) which maintain the system's frequency with very rapid

responses to changes in supply or demand, Replacement Reserve (RR) which restores the required level FRR given imbalance, black-start capability in the event of blackout and others.

Ancillary services and congestion management are A broadening pool of market participants - including aggregators and demand response providers- participate in the ancillary services market. Whilst these markets are evolving and differ between member states, Figure 7 provides a typical representation of the timescales in which the different products are activated and procured. Demand side response (4.1) and storage (5.4) are important parts to the ancillary services equation.

TSOs manage congestions so as to maintain the security of the system. Congestions appear when there is a mismatch between the outcome of the markets and the physical limitations of the grid.

TSOs can apply remedial actions to relieve congestions:

redispatch, which means a measure activated by one or several system operators by altering the generation and/or demand pattern in order to change physical flows in the transmission system and relieve a physical congestion;

 countertrading means a cross zonal exchange initiated by system operators between two bidding zones to relieve physical congestion;

The utilisation of these actions is costly and ultimately paid by the customer.

# Trends

# Ancillary services

Between 2016 and 2017, the volumes of contracted balancing reserves increased from 3.75 TW to 4.56 TW (see Figure 8). These figures are for pre-contracted reserves made available to the TSO. The 21.4% year-onyear increase is in a large part due to the significant rise in the levels of mFRR being contracted, which more than offset decreases in FCR and RR.

Ancillary services in Europe are deployed after the dayahead and intraday markets have closed to ensure that frequency is maintained, and reserves are kept within safe limits. They are often, however, contracted well in advance of the day-ahead markets on weekly, monthly, annual or long-term time-scales (see Figure 10).

The volumes being contracted across different durations have remained relatively stable for most categories

# Figure 7 - Example of typical ancillary service time-frames



# **Contracted Ancillary Services 2016-2017**



between 2016 and 2017, apart from 'daily' contracts, which climbed significantly by 67.8% - increasing from 1.3 TW to 2.2 TW.

- Voluntarily in cooperation projects and through the implementation of the 'Electricity Balancing Guideline', TSOs are cooperating to integrate balancing markets and deliver common European platforms. TSOs have for example established the following projects to harmonise balancing market processes across Europe (see also Figure 10):
- the International Grid Control Cooperation (IGCC) for imbalance netting process;
- the Platform for International Coordination of Automated Frequency Restoration and Stable System Operation (PICASSO) for aFRR process;
- Manually Activated Reserves Initiative (MARI) for mFRR process;
- And Trans-European Restoration Reserves Exchange (TERRE) for RR process.
- Integration of European balancing markets is estimated to generate more than €400 million a year of additional social welfare benefit.

# Figure 8 - Volume of contracted ancillary services (TW) (source: ENTSO-E Transparency Platform)



# Remedial actions

ENTSO-E's latest Bidding Zone Technical Report released In this timeframe, all grid elements are considered, end of 2018 gave unprecedented transparency on irrespective of their cross-zonal relevance. Many lines Europe's power grid constraints. The report shows the with low frequency of congestions are reported, while frequency and locations of bottlenecks, and the costs high frequency congestions are reported for a relatively related.

physical limitations in some areas.

purpose of day-ahead capacity allocation, a relatively low number of congestions are reported, especially if compared to the D-1 timeframe (the timeframe between After market closure the TSOs take actions to relieve before the electricity is dispatched or 'real time).

are generally on bidding zone<sup>4</sup> borders or in their direct In Germany, the compensations for renewable energy vicinity. This is due to the fact that in the capacity producers that have to be curtailed makes up almost half calculation timeframe, only the grid elements with relevant sensitivity to cross-border exchanges are considered.

In the timeframe 'D-1', the report identifies congested lines detected during the operational planning process, where TSOs check the DA market outcome for feasibility 25% between 2015 and 2015, from €999 million to against the grid's technical capability.

4 https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/appene/v96y2012icp316-326.html

limited number of grid elements.

It factually demonstrates that the European system has As illustrated in the chapter 'Security of Supply" the number of incidents on the transmission grid is extremely low in Europe. This shows that despite a high level of The frequency and location of these constraints vary constraints on their networks and despite a system over time. In the timeframe 'Capacity calculation for the in transition, TSOs are able to maintain the electricity svstem secure.

the closure of the day ahead market up to one hour congestions that could lead to security violations (remedial actions). The Bidding Zone Technical Report transparently includes figures on costly remedial actions. These reported congestions in the day ahead timeframe These costs are the highest in Germany and Great Britain. of the total costs.

> ENTSO-E's Transparency Platform data shows that the amount of money spent on implementing congestion management have seen an overall increase of around €1.27 billion<sup>5</sup>.

5 Bidding zone is the largest geographical area within which market articipants are able to exchange energy without capacity allocation

# (as of November 2018)

Imbalancing netting: IGCC



mFRR: MARI







Contracted Length Ancillary Services 2016-2017

# Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) Transparency Platform: Volumes of Contracted Balancing Reserves. Available from: https://transparency.entsoe.eu/balancing/r2/balancing/VolumesReservation/show ENTSO-E (2018) Electricity Balancing in Europe. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Network%20codes%20documents/NC%20EB/entso-e\_

balancing\_in%20\_europe\_report\_Nov2018\_web.pdf

Figure 10 - European balancing implementation projects and their TSO members







# Figure 12 - Costs of remedial actions over 2015-2017



6 Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the UK.

## Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) Transparency Platform: Volumes of Contracted Balancing Reserves. Available from: https://transparency.entsoe.eu/balancing/r2/balancingVolumesReservation/show

ENTSO-E (2018) Electricity Balancing in Europe. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Network%20codes%20documents/NC%20EB/entso-e\_ balancing\_in%20\_europe\_report\_Nov2018\_web.pdf ENTSO-E (2018) Transparency Platform: Congestion Costs. Available from: https://transparency.entsoe.eu/congestion-management/r2/costs/show

ENTSO-E (2018) *Bidding Zone Configuration Technical Report 2018*. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Events/2018/BZ\_report/20181015\_BZ\_TR\_FINAL.pdf

ENTSO-E POWERFACTS EUROPE 2019



# 1.5 Ramping Needs

# Context

Residual load ramping measures the required responsiveness of the electricity system to fast variations in demand and/or generation . If for instance the wind stops blowing, then an electricity system with a lot of installed wind power will need to rapidly ramp up other forms of generation or ramp down demand, or use storage or other flexibility sources, and develop interconnections to balance the system and maintain frequency.

It is therefore a key indicator of the evolving flexibility requirement as Europe's energy system transitions.

In a European power system with greater penetrations of solar and wind expected, the balancing of supply and demand will, all else being equal, be increasingly on short notice must be maintained.

# Trends

Adequacy is not only related to the total amount of The ramping needs shall be addressed through all capacity being installed in the system, but also to the available means. The interconnections can contribute ability of the installed capacity to adjust to the everincreasing dynamics of dispatch events in the system. The latter is defined as flexibility adequacy and it demand response and/or storage. becomes evermore important, mainly due to the increasing amount of variable renewable energy present Recent studies in Europe and around the world confirm required in order to ensure a smooth transition to high RES penetration.

## In particular:

- Ramping needs: Notably with the growing PV penetration, flexible resources will become essential to meet the fast change of residual demand – for instance, the steep upward ramp created by the decline in solar output due to the sun setting when demand increases in the evening.

- Balancing fast reserves: The increase of variable generation along with the forecast error of wind and PV should be overcome with reserve deployment in order to secure the supply. Modelling of balancing reserves in the current MAF is performed assuming that a fixed amount of supply is kept available at any time.

Despite the considerable improvements in forecasting variable power generation, for both wind and solar, in practice, forecasting can never be perfectly accurate, with decreasing forecast errors as real-time operation approaches. Thus, forecasts are very likely to be updated hours ahead of real time, and the system will require fast starting and controllable resources (interconnectors, DSR, storage and fast response generators). The Figure influenced by weather. As network operators try to cope below shows the hourly residual load ramps (i.e., the with greater variability in generation and potentially also hourly changes in demand minus variable renewable in demand, the flexibility to ramp up or down resources energy generation) that are requested from dispatchable generation units when considering each market node independently. The larger the ramps the bigger the need for flexibility.

> up to around one third of the flexibility needs in 2025. The remaining needs shall be addressed by generation,

in the power system. Several flexibility services will be that flexibility is becoming a crucial point for system adequacy. Flexibility services and products are growing in importance and are progressively being integrated into the market. ENTSO-E aims to extend further insights on flexibility in coming MAF reports.





Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) Mid Term Adequacy Forecast (MAF) 2018. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/SDC%20documents/MAF/2018/MAF%20 2018%20Executive%20Report.pdf

7 Specifically, for the analysis in this chapter we define residual load as load minus the sum of wind and solar generation. Ramping requirement is the change – up or down – in residual load (MW) per hourly period.





# 1.6 Regional cooperation & System Operations Codes

# Context

As cross border and variable power flows increase, the need for regional coordination across the European power system rises further. Regional coordination is however not limited to TSOs. The coordination at regulatory and policy level is equally needed and is even a pre-requisite restoration plans. to enhanced regional cooperation of TSOs.

for decades. Regional Security Coordinators (RSCs) were system operation codes already been implement-ed, put in place after the system split in 2006 and have been made mandatory with the one of the System Operations minimised: network codes, the System Operation Guideline (SOGL)<sup>8</sup>. System Operation codes are enabling state of the art operational rules to be shared across Europe adapted energy supply also to more variable and cross border flows.

The System Operations (SOGL): outlines the steps TSOs

Figure 14 - Map of RSCs

must take in managing their grid. Key actions in-clude improving scheduling procedures and enhancing security analysis as well as regional TSO coopera-tion.

The Emergency and Restoration (ER): defines agreed processes that TSOs must follow when there is an incident on the grid. This ensures that the highest standards and practices are adhered to across the Euro-pean system to guarantee consumers receive the highest quality energy service. Key actions include en-hancing emergency and

The 2006 European blackout was a major event which had widespread social and economic implications. Had Indeed, the TSOs have voluntarily cooperated in regions some the European Network Codes and notably the the repercussions of the system split may have been

- 17GW of load being shed
- 15 million households being cut off from their
- €300-500 million of losses from load shedding
- 20GW of generation tripped or disconnected.



## Coreso 2008 TSC (2008) SCC (2016) Nordic RSC (2016) Baltic RSC (2016)

8 The System Operations (SOGL): outlines the steps TSOs must take in managing their grid. Key actions include improving scheduling procedures and enhancing security analysis as well as regional TSO cooperation.

The Emergency and Restoration (ER): defines agreed processes that TSOs must follow when there is an incident on the grid. This ensures that the highest standards and practices are adhered to across the European system to guarantee consumers receive the highest quality energy service. Key actions include enhancing emergency and restoration plans.

## Trends

# Table 2 - RSC tasks and associated benefits for TSOs and market participants

FIVE TASKS	E
Regional operational security coordination	lı r r
Regional outage coordination	g
Coordinated capacity calculation from CACM	C f N
Regional adequacy assessment	F
Building of Common Grid Model	F a h

The Clean Energy Package for all Europeans amends the SOGL and adds additional service responsibilities to RSCs, who under current proposals would become Regional Coordination Centres (RCCs) after 2022. Such services include tasks to improve system operation,	Overview of RSC services and notable actions Regional Security Coordinators:			
market operation and risk preparedness. Enhancing regional coordination of TSOs requires closer and more systematic coordination of regulators and policy makers. ENTSO-E has proposed the concept of Regional Energy Forums gathering the policy, regulatory, technical/market layers that are all necessary for the	<ol> <li>COPESO discovered 7 times more potentially</li> <li>Common grid covered 7 times more potentially</li> </ol>			
power system to function optimally. This cooperation 'triangle' is already active at national and pan-European level but still needs developing at re-gional level.	critical situations in 2015 compared to 2014 Mitigate critical grid situations 4000 remedial actions proposed/year in			
By end of 2016, all five RSCs were established.	CORESO <b>130</b> multilateral remedial actions coordinated by TSCNET			
RSCs provide services to TSOs, and Table 2 summarises the five core services that they are implementing. It may take up to 2022 to complete these.				
Whilst it may be too soon in the implementation process for the full benefits of the TSO cooperation through RSCs to be realised, the number of coordinated actions and the				

# **ENEFIT FOR TSOS & MARKET PARTICIPANTS**

entify risks of operational security in areas close to national borders. ommendations to the concerned TSOs without being constrained by tional borders

ngle register for all planned outages of grid assets (overhead lines, nerators etc.)

hanced governance of asset maintenance.

Iculate available electricity transfer capacity across borders (using ow-based or net transfer capacity methodologies). aximise the capacity offered to the market.

oduction, and grid status forecasts up to several weeks ahead.

ets (generation, consumption, and transmission), updated every



# Focus on the Common Grid Model common grid model and feed the merged CGM back (CGM)

continuous grid data exchange.

individual grid model of each TSO, covering timeframes Data Environment (OPDE). The OPDE is also the following a quality assessment and pan-European by the SOGL, but also by two market network codes. alignment process, merge them into a pan-European

into the system. The performance of the core legally mandated tasks of RSCs rely on the CGM.

A lot of services depend on the implementation of the The CGM, currently being developed by ENTSO-E and Common Grid Model (CGM) - the first pan-European RSCs, will allow for a much finer representation of the network. It requires building specific hardware, software and applications. The data exchange necessary for TSOs model their grid in their market, operations and the new CGM merging process will be supported by an system development activities. The CGM compiles the information platform, ENTSO-E's Operational Planning from one year to one hour before real time. TSOs' foundation of the data exchange platform needed to run individual grid models are received by RSCs, who, the other core tasks of RSCs. The CGM is required notably

lack of major incidents on the European grid can already be highlighted as signals of success.

In 2016, ENTSO-E commissioned a study on the future of the regional cooperation in the power system. The study highlighted the conditions for enhanced regional cooperation in power transmission system operation:

• An initial forum of policy makers should involve member states and national energy regulators, as well as TSOs to the extent necessary. The forum would focus on cooperation at the political

level and on the coordination and harmonisation of policies and regulations to facilitate market integration and improve regional efficiency. Institutions such as the European Commission or ACER could also participate in this forum.

 Consultation of stakeholders. A second group would organise the adequate consultation of all relevant stakeholders, through dedicated meetings and workshops as well as public consultations. Stakeholder engagement is needed to ensure

 Cooperation of TSOs. A third layer would focus on the coordination of TSOs in system and market operations and all TSO activities, for which regional coordination would be valuable. It would examine the impact of policies on system and market operation and the operational implementation of such policies, if necessary. This forum would involve RSCs and other relevant service providers or project partners (e.g. power exchanges, the Joint Auction Office).

The approach should therefore improve economic efficiency. Moreover, the extension of RSCs' scope of activity, motivated by Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) where relevant, also contributes to higher economic efficiency. The proposal does not require major changes in the institutional and regulatory framework as it is based on the approach set in the new regulation. However, this approach allows for the necessary evolutions in policies, further evolution beyond 2030 towards other long-term

In addition to supporting the convergence of regulations, policy regions would also be tasked with coordinating national and regional level decisions needed for improved TSO regional cooperation. In this concept, the allocation of responsibility is clear and the TSOs remain fully responsible for operational security. Thus, if coordination of regulations and policies regulations and market design. Finally, the concept of is also improved, the proposed concept enables RSCs to enhanced regional cooperation does not preclude any enhance TSOs' coordination, to provide complementary analyses, and to perform new coordination services solutions. when they add value to the region. However, the allocation of responsibility and governance ensures that the TSOs can perform analysis and remain in a position of ultimate responsibility to prevent any action which could

Figure 16 - Growth of regional cooperation, suggested timeline

# Figure 15 - Enhanced regional cooperation approach





a smooth and satisfactory implementation. jeopardise operational security in their local network.

Economic efficiency and the maximisation of social welfare at the wider regional or European scope is the driver for this approach. The proposed framework for policy regions with effective regulatory coordination and the proposed framework for RSCs, with the evolution of governance and decision-making process specifically, aim to foster more efficient decisions and align national preferences with regional optimisation.

# **Regional Centers** for Before Real-Time operations implemented & Regional enhanced regional independent cooperation/Clean System Operators nergy Package enters Other Approaches



# Regional Energy Forums (REF)

In 2017, ENTSO-E has issued a policy paper "Power Regions for the Energy Union: Regional Energy Forums as The Way Ahead" which argued for the creation of Regional Energy Forums (REF) - a structured and lasting cooperation between European countries, NRAs, TSOs at regional level, consulting with stakeholders where applicable.

The concept of the REF is designed to ensure that countries within a region work constructively together, develop and agree on solutions to provide common political

guidance. Agreed solutions will then be implemented by the members of the Forums in line with their respective roles and powers. In doing so, they work bottom-up on solving the specific challenges of each region. REFs will contribute to the deepening of energy relations in Europe and provide a framework which allows for the best possible coordination of national efforts towards the internal energy market and the Energy Union.

# Figure 17 - Regional energy forum concept



Sources: ENTSO-E (2018) *Network Codes*. Available from: https://electricity.network-codes.eu/network\_codes/

ENTSO-E (2018) In the spotlight: regional coordination of electricity TSOs

FTI- Consulting Energy/ Compass Lexecon (2016): *Options for the Future of power System regional Coordination*: Available from: https://www.fticonsulting.com/~/media/Files/us-files/intelligence/intelligence-research/entso-e-future-power-system-regional-coordination.pdf

ENTSO-E (2017), Power Regions for the Energy Union: regional energy forums as the way ahead Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Publications/Position%20papers%20and%20reports/1.1.1.1.Corrected-interactive-entsoe\_pp\_REF\_print.pdf



# -36%

CARBON INTENSITY OF ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION IN THE EU-28 BETWEEN 1990 AND 2014

> **INCREASE IN NET RES GENERATION BETWEEN** 2015 AND 2017

-6% carbon

# **Chapter 2 -** Sustainability

Europe has been at the forefront of climate change Trends policy for decades. EU targets and Member State policies Whilst preliminary estimates suggest that total GHG emissions increased by 0.6% in 2017 as a result of increased energy demand from transport and industrial the EU-28 decreased by 44% between 1990 and 2016 (from 524 to 296 gCO2/kWh). Progress has occurred during several years when the price of allowances from the EU ETS has remained relatively low. Permit prices have increased during 2018 and suggest market conditions are more conducive to investment in emission-saving

reflect the importance of sustainability, as does the region's continued support for the Paris Agreement. The Commission's 2050 Strategy is evidence of commitment sectors, the carbon intensity of electricity production in to long-term decarbonisation. As of December 2018, new renewables and energy efficiency targets for 2030 have been adopted by the Parliament and European Council (see graphic on page 5 for more detail). If achieved, greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) are expected to decrease by 45% relative to 1990 technologies and measures. levels. This would be in exceedance of the 40% target.

The energy efficiency target as part of the '20-20-20' targets requires attention, as it may not be met (20% reduction in primary energy consumption against projected levels by 2020.)

This chapter presents data on the evolving carbon intensity of electricity (2.1), the EU-28's energy efficiency targets (2.2), and renewables deployment (2.3). Taken together, these measures are important and complimentary parts of Europe's decarbonisation drive.

# 2.1 Carbon intensity

# Context

The EU has already agreed to an economy-wide 40% reduction target in GHG emissions by 2030 (from 1990 levels) under the Paris Agreement. The European Commission's analysis suggests that 2030 power sector emissions will likely need to fall by at least 54% against 1990 levels to allow for the economy-wide 40% reduction. ENTSO-E and ENTSOG are working on a COP21 compliant scenario, in close cooperation with numerous stakeholders and especially NGOs, through the Renewables Grid Initiative.



Between 2015 and 2016, figures show that the carbon intensity of the power sector in Europe decreased by 6%, the second largest annual percentage fall since 1990 (see Figure 18). Indeed, much of this has been driven by increased uptake of renewable generation, and an increasing role in the production mix. In 2017 the share of electricity from renewable sources was 30%, double the share ten years ago.

# The EU-28 has been successful at "decoupling" energy consumption and economic growth, with a reduction of energy intensity of 35% between 1995 and 2016

Based on Member State projections, the European Further carbon intensity reductions will be needed to Commission estimates that total emissions are in line to support the economy-wide targets supported under the fall by 26% below 1990 levels by 2030. This falls short of Paris Agreement – especially given structural changes in the estimated reduction needed (54%).

Figure 19 provides an illustration of a 54%-68% reduction in carbon intensity by 2030. This is intended as a guide to the decrease in carbon intensity which may be required given the 2030 targets (given a conservative assumption of stable electricity demand). The figure suggests that Europe has made significant progress to date in reducing the carbon intensity of electricity production, but that this rate will likely need to accelerate over the next decade especially given the expected increase in power demand driven by the expected electrification of transport, heating and industrial sectors.

heating and transport sectors which could drive greater consumption of electricity.

But, as suggested by the trendline above, increased uptake of renewable generation and a shift away from the most-polluting generation sources has supported a rate of carbon intensity reduction which could support Europe's 2030 decarbonisation goal.

# Figure 18 - Carbon intensity of European electricity production (sources: EEA, UNFCC, Eurostat)



# Figure 19 - Carbon intensity reduction in respect to illustrative 2030 targets



This analysis is illustrative and assumes that the carbon intensity of electricity (gCO2/kWh) will have to fall by at least the equivalent proportion as for total power sector emissions (MtCO2e). This assumption could be conservative given potential increased power dem

### Sources:

EEA (2018) CO, emission intensity. Available from: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/ EEA (2018) Greenhouse gas emissions. Available from: https://www.eea.europa.eu/airs/2018/resource-efficiency-and-low-carbon-economy/greenhouse-gas-emission

Eurostat (2018) Supply, transformation and consumption of electricity. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database UNFCC (2018) European Union NIR 2018. Available from: https://unfccc.int/documents/65886 European Climate Foundation (2010) Roadmap 2050. Available from: http://www.roadmap2050.eu/attachments/files/Volume1\_fullreport\_PressPack.pdf



# Carbon intensity of European electricity production, gCO<sub>2</sub>/kWh



# 2.2 Energy Efficiency

# Context

Energy efficiency is recognised as a fundamental building block for a successful energy transition that delivers more sustainable, secure and cost-effective energy. The OECD expects EU GDP to double over the next 40 years. With a 40% reduction in GHG emission target to be achieved by 2030, energy efficiency will play a crucial role in delivering cost-effective decarbonisation.

# Trends

Since 2005, EU energy consumption has fallen by 10% in primary energy and 7% in final energy terms. As illustrated in Figure 20, energy consumption decreased gradually between 2005 and 2014 to a level that was momentarily below the 2020 target.

However, recent annual increases in energy consumption mean that it is now uncertain whether the EU will meet it's 2020 energy efficiency target. Figure 20 shows the provisional 2017 estimates sitting well above the 11year trendline, and above the linear pathway from 2005 to the 2020 targets.

Whilst the reversal in the decadal trend in energy consumption reduction over the last 3-4 years has put hitting the 2020 target in jeopardy, figures show it remains within reach. In absolute terms, an 80 Mtoe reduction in primary energy consumption is needed by 2020, and a corresponding 22 Mtoe fall in final energy consumption. Given that previous annual reductions have exceeded both figures and with 2 years remaining, this target remains achievable but may require additional efforts.

Data and analysis from the ODYSSEE-MURE project suggests that changing weather has been an influential factor in the recent increases in energy consumption, with an unusually warm winter across Europe in 2014. Figure 21 provides a breakdown of the drivers and shows that since 2014, it is estimated that energy intensity savings were balanced out by the impact of changing weather conditions (+/- 13 Mtoe)<sup>9</sup>.



Figure 20 - EU primary and final energy consumption compared to 2020 and 2030 targets (source: EEA)

Mto



2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030

# Figure 21 - Change in EU final energy consumption over time (source: ODYSSEE)

# Change in EU Final Energy Consumption: 2005-2016



9 Activity: change in value added in industry, services and agriculture, in traffic in transport; Demography: effect due to the increasing number of dwellings; Lifestyles: more appliances and larger dwellings for households; Structural effects: industry (and services), modal shift in transport services; Other effects: behaviours for households, value of product in industry, labour productivity in services and "negative" savings due to inefficient operations in industry and transport.

# Achieved, estimated and projected primary and final energy consumption 2005 - 2030



# Figure 22 - EU GDP (€bn, 2013) compared to gross inland consumption of energy (Mtoe)

Real EU GDP (€bn, 2013) per unit of energy consumed (Mtoe of GIC)



activity on energy consumption. Given that the European that the EU-28 has been successful at "decoupling" economy is expected to grow over the next 3 years, and energy consumption and economic growth. Indeed, this as the impact of weather is clearly uncertain, further decoupling has led to a reduction of energy intensity of progress in reducing energy intensity – the consumption 35%, or a rate of just over 1.4% per year. needed per unit of economic output (usually GDP) - is needed.

Figure 22 illustrates that ratio between EU GDP and units of energy needed to support economic output has been rising over time i.e. the EU generates more GDP

Figure 21 also shows the impact of increasing economic per unit of energy in 2016 than in 1995. This is evidence

Figure 22 graphic includes the results of the European Commission's 2050 Roadmap modelling exercise for comparison. These dotted lines show that there are still improvements to be made in reducing energy intensity by 2050.

### Sources

OECD (2018) GDP long-term forecast. Available from: https://data.oecd.org/gdp/gdp-long-term-forecast.htm EEA (2018) Energy intensity. Available from: https://www.eea.europa.eu/

Eurostat (2018) Simplified energy balances – annual data. Available from: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/

European Commission (2018) Gross domestic product. Available from: http://ec.europa.eu/economy\_finance/ameco/

European Commission (2017) Report form the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/ files/documents/

European Commission (2018) 2050 Long-term strategy. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/strategies/2050\_en ODYSSEE-MURE (2018) Decomposition Tool. Available from: http://www.indicators.odyssee-mure.eu/decomposition.html

# 2.3 Renewable Deployment

## Context

The share of total power generation delivered by renewable energy systems (RES) is a key sustainability data point. In Europe the picture is diverse, with some nations delivering RES generation volumes equivalent to 90% to 100% of total generation, and others less than 10% (as shown in Figure 23). With the diverse resources that are in different geographies, we see a patch-worked energy system where demand is satisfied by diverse energy sources. Overall progress towards RES-e targets has been strong, although 2016 - 2017 saw stunted progress.

thirds of their energy demand from renewables, but with Trends median generation values lower than this. This issue To drive decarbonisation, the European Union has committed to 20% of gross final energy demand being characterises one of the core challenges with integrating delivered by renewable sources by 2020. As noted, (see renewable energy generation; the concurrency of variable renewable energy supply (vRES) with demand. Adding page 5 graphic), the Clean Energy Package sets a 32% target for 2030, with an upward revision clause that may storage to the system, improving interconnection, and be activated by 2023. harnessing demand-side response can support a greater penetration of vRES and complement the operation of With independent national targets, different resourcethese assets by helping to match supply and demand.

endowments, geographies and climatic conditions within Europe, the European energy mix remains diverse. Figure Overall, there has been an 11% increase in net RES 25 uses ENTSO-E data to present the percentage of generation between 2015 and 2017, predominantly energy produced from RES sources in 2017. The median driven by Germany, Poland and Austria. Latvia has values show great diversity, with Denmark and Norway seen the largest overall increase in the period but has seeing 70% and 97% RES proportions, and Hungary considerably lower energy demand than the other countries considered. 5 countries have seen a reduction seeing just over 10%. in relative RES generation over the period. Overall since We also see a significant variability in the share of 2015, TSOs have helped to integrate 54 GWs of additional variable RES (here defined as wind and solar).

RES throughout the year; with the share of RES in the generation mix in Denmark varying from 0% to 100% within 2017, illustrating the country's important wind The European electricity supply remains largely powered generation, compared to Norway which was constantly by non-renewable sources, with close to 70% of net supplied by at least 90% RES throughout the year, due to generation in 2017, as opposed to 30% from renewable its hydro power. Figure 24 utilises 15-minute electricity sources. This ratio has remained broadly consistent over production data from ENTSO-E's Transparency Platform the last 3 years. to illustrate the share of RES in each country's generation mix throughout the year. The box and whisker plots detail The European energy mix is characterised by the diversity the distribution between periods of high and low RES of the nations; their individual renewable energy targets, penetration, with the horizontal line in the middle of the their access to renewable sources, and their internal box denoting the median and outer edges the first and resources. This has created a patchworked energy third guartiles. The distance between these guartiles is system across Europe, which has seen good progress towards the near-term EU goals; however, in the longerparticularly large in the case of Denmark and Latvia and term greater ambition will be required to ensure that the suggests significant fluctuation in RES share from the median throughout the year. 2050 Roadmap goals can be achieved.

The distribution and range of the above data demonstrates the true diversity of the European electricity mix. The examples of Denmark, Latvia, and Portugal show nations that have enough installed capacity to produce two









# Figure 24 - Distribution of RES-share throughout 2017 (source: ENTSO-E)



Sources: ENTSO-E (2018) *Statistical Facsheet 2017.* Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Publications/Statistics/Factsheet/entsoe\_sfs\_2017.pdf ENTSO-E (2018) *Transparency Platform.* Available from: https://transparency.entsoe.eu/

(42)



# €2.5b-€4b per year

**BENEFITS OF** ELECTRICITY MARKET COUPLING/INTEGRATION

# 460-467 TWh

TOTAL PHYSICAL ENERGY **FLOWS INCREASE** BETWEEN **EUROPEAN COUNTRIES** 2016 - 2017

# 60-86%

**EFFICIENT USE OF** 

# **Chapter 3 -** Market Integration

The integration of European power markets allows welfare. electricity to flow freely across the continent, and in response to price signals. Market integration allows for **Trends** the best use of existing assets across Europe, helping Initially starting with the coordination of TSOs and NEMOs in proximal countries to enable isolated coupling, system operators balance supply and demand efficiently, and optimise the system. This keeps prices competitive there has been wider spread of market coupling over the for consumers, lowers supply risks and supports past 5 years. In particular coupling of day-ahead markets renewable integration. (single day-ahead coupling – SDAC) has made good progress, with 26 countries involved with one of two Analysis carried out for the European Commission in parallel coupling projects (which could be merged in the 2013 suggested that the benefits of electricity market future)<sup>11</sup>. Together these countries account for more than

coupling/integration were in the order of €2.5 - €4 billion 90% of European electricity consumption. a year<sup>10</sup>.

Both projects make use of a common pricing algorithm This chapter considers the progress made against this (PCR EUPHEMIA) to calculate electricity prices across objective. TSOs and NEMOs have made progress in Europe, and implicitly allocate cross-border capacity. coupling markets (3.1) and this has been reflected in an Ireland and Greece both joined the Multi-Regional increased efficiency of interconnector use (3.3), and day-Coupling (MRC) project in November 2018 demonstrating ahead price convergence in Baltic states in particular. continued momentum and further progress. Additionally, However, cross-zonal capacity challenges remain an all TSOs and NEMOs are finalising a governance important area for further industry engagement and agreement - the Day Ahead Operational Agreement collaboration. Here Market Network Codes (3.4) will (DAOA) - to formally set the terms for cooperation continue to play a crucial role in harmonising regulations between involved parties moving forward. so that they deliver fair, efficient and safe trading outcomes across Europe. Intraday coupling has been implemented more slowly

# 3.1 Market Coupling

# Context

Market coupling refers to the integration of two or more electricity markets through a coordinated and harmonised process of optimising inputs (demand and available generation), and communicating outputs (matched trades, prices and scheduled exchanges), given network constraints (available capacity on cross zonal lines).

This is where the Market Network Code for Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management (CACM) (see 3.4 for more information) plays a fundamental role in setting transparent conditions for fair and safe access to cross zonal capacity. The CACM is therefore at the cornerstone of Europe's market coupling efforts and the development of a single market for electricity. Increasing the coupling of markets - both at the day ahead and intraday level - leads to lower prices for consumers and greater social

10 https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/20130902\_energy\_integration\_benefits.pdf

than at the day-ahead level, as there are more operational complexities associated with continuous trading ranging from technical issues to market design and governance. However, as at summer 2018, the majority of Europe is now served by the Intraday Operational Agreement (IDOA) with 26 countries signed up to the agreement.

The XBID started as a joint initiative by NEMOs and TSOs from 11 countries, to create a coupled intraday cross-border market. It went live on 12th June 2018 and currently consists of 14 countries<sup>12</sup> (see Figure 26). A 'second wave' will go ahead in Summer 2019. The XBID Platform has been confirmed as the Single Intraday Coupling (SIDC) which shall enable continuous crossborder trading across Europe.

11 22 countries are connected via the MCR project as at December 2018, these are: Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Great Britain, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain and Sweden. A further 4 countries are involved with the 4M Market Coupling project, these are Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary and



# Figure 25 - State-of-play in day-ahead market coupling across Europe, as of December 2018 (Source: ENTSO-E)



# Figure 26 - State-of-play in intraday market coupling across Europe, as of December 2018 (source: ENTSO-E)



# Figure 27 - Europe's interconnector efficiency for day-ahead, intraday and balancing trades (source: ACER)



The progress made in day-ahead market coupling is reflected in the uplift in the level of efficiency in interconnector use, i.e. the percentage use of available commercial capacity in the 'right economic direction', from around 60% in 2010 to 86% in 2017. Intraday efficiency has remained lower around 50%, although with increased participation in day-ahead coupling and the application of SIDC, this should increase in the future. Use of interconnection has to be considered in light of levels of congestion of the European grid. The Bidding Zone Technical Report includes detailed figures showing how congested the European grid is (see 1.5 Congestion Management for more information).

12 Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain and Sweden

# Sources:

Irish Power Spot Market Launched, EPEX, 2018. <a href="https://www.epexspot.com/document/39794/181002\_EPEX\_ECC\_I-SEM.pdf">https://www.epexspot.com/document/39794/181002\_EPEX\_ECC\_I-SEM.pdf</a> First Joint Report on the Progress and Potential Problems with the Implementation of Intraday and Day-Ahead Coupling As Well As Forward Capacity Allocation, ENTSO-E, 2018. <a href="https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Network%20codes%20documents/NC%20CACM/First\_Joint\_Report\_FCA\_and\_CACM.PDF">https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Network%20codes%20documents/NC%20CACM/First\_Joint\_Report\_FCA\_and\_CACM.PDF</a> Annual Report on the Results of Monitoring the Internal Electricity and Natural Gas Markets in 2017, ACER, 2018. <a href="https://acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/">https://acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/</a> Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Publication/MMR%202017%20-%20SUMMARY.pdf>

The progress made in day-ahead market coupling is reflected in the uplift in the level of efficiency in interconnector use from around 60% in 2010 to 86% in 2017.



# 3.2 Price Convergence

# Context

of market integration achieved through market coupling in the Baltic, CWE and South-Western European (SWE) and interconnection. Conversely, a lack of market markets, as demonstrated by the increase in the number integration is visible if two neighbouring countries have very divergent electricity prices. Of course, individual price differences at a point in time may just be a result of market conditions, while macro-trends over a period illustrate fundamental electricity market integration patterns.



In 2014, following a period of incremental pan-European coupling, the wider price coupling of day-ahead markets in North Western Europe took place, which was the first step towards the Pan-European Price Coupling of Regions (PCR) project. It combined the Central Western European (CWE) area with Great Britain, the Nordics and the Baltics – since its inception Spain, Portugal and Italy have joined.

# Trends

Figure 28 provides an overview of price convergence within European market coupling regions between 2008 Price convergence is a valuable indicator of the success and 2017. There is a clear increase in the price convergence of hours in which full price convergence occurs across the years (proxied as 0-1 €/MWh difference – blue bars). The increase in interconnection capacity in the Baltic area has been noted as a key contributor to this trend. For the CWE region, the price convergence in the past few years can be partly attributed to the implementation of flowbased market coupling in 2015, whereas the recent price divergence in the SWE region is influenced by a changing electricity generation mix in these Spain and Portugal causing large price swings.

> Average prices realised on the day-ahead markets for 2017 range from around 30.08 €/MWh (Germany, Austria, Estonia, Denmark) to 54.68 €/MWh (Greece, Portugal). The average day-ahead price of all European countries in 2017 was 42.38 €/MWh. The total price range of day-ahead prices is 24.60 €/MWh, which indicates that considerable variability across Europe remains<sup>13</sup>.

Interconnection between these regions may increase price convergence. For example, after the completion of the NordBalt link between Lithuania and Sweden in December 2015, the price difference between the two countries fell from 8.2 €/MWh in 2015 to 7.3 €/MWh in 2016. Although prices are affected by factors outside of interconnection, especially in the short-term (yearly), at least part of this price convergence could be explained through the interconnection gain.

However, it should be noted that some price divergence is likely. Indeed, the interconnection levels required for full price convergence may be prohibitively high for the social welfare benefit delivered. Bespoke cost-benefit analysis using in-depth modelling is the optimum way to determine whether an interconnector is required, and is the approach taken by the ENTSO-E Ten-Year Network Development Plan (TYNDP).

# Figure 28 - Day-ahead price convergence in Europe by CCR (ranked) – 2008-2017 (source: ENTSO-E and ACER calculations)



13 More information and specific day-ahead prices can be found on the ENTSO-E Transparency Platform. NEMOs do not currently allow this data to be used in reports.

# Sources: ELECTRICITY.pdf

ENTSO-E (2018) Transparency Platform: Day-ahead prices. Available from: https://transparency.entsoe.eu/transmission-domain/r2/dayAheadPrices/show



# **Regional Price Convergence**

ACER (2018) *Electricity Markets Volume*. Available from: https://acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Publication/MMR%202017%20-%20



# Figure 29 - Map of Europe showing physical energy flows, 2017 (source: ENTSO-E)

# Physical energy flows



# 3.3 Physical Energy Flows

# Context

One challenge of Europe's zonal electricity market design is that electricity does not always flow across borders in perfect response to price differentials – i.e. from low to high prices in response to system needs. Unscheduled flows can reduce cross-zonal capacity for other trades, hinder the short-run efficiency of the market and harm security of supply.

Whilst this topic is complex, this sub-chapter presents current physical energy flows across Europe, and a summary of the efficiency of cross-zonal capacities.

## Trends

Between 2016 and 2017, total physical energy flows between European countries increased from 460 TWh to 467 TWh. This total still sits below the 2015 level of 484 TWh. Figure 29 illustrates the physical energy flow for 2017. France saw the largest change in physical energy flows, with an additional 11.7 TWh flowing into the country and an additional 11.2 TWh flowing out per year, over the period 2015 - 2017. France is however still a significant net exporter.

42.38 €/MWh

average day-ahead price of all European countries in 2017

# 8.2 €/MWh in 2015 to 7.3 €/MWh

Price difference between Lithuania and Sweden before and after the completion of the NordBalt link



A challenge consistent amongst many countries is When considering the intraday market, the efficient the limited capacity made available to cross-zonal flows for instance.

challenge, the interconnector capacity that is made available is being used more efficiently. This is paricularly (see 3.1) has supported an increase in capacity made etc. available for exchanges in the right economic direction - i.e. from low to high prices. This can be seen to have Increased transparency on how the network is increased from 60% in 2010 to 86% in 2017 in Figure 30 constrained and how capacity is calculated is equally below.

utilisation of cross-zonal capacity remains low, according exchanges, which support the efficient functioning of to ACER. In 2017, on average 50% of the capacity the internal electricity market, rather than unscheduled was used efficiently. 2016-17 saw an increase in the aggregated cross-zonal volume on the intraday markets by 3%, which is a consistent trend since 2010. The ACER Whilst increasing cross-zonal capacity remains a figures on interconnection use are contested. However, it is clear that limited capacity at borders can be tackled by further investment in the grid, full implementation of the case in the day-ahead market where market coupling network codes, fit for purpose market design, digitisation

important.

**Figure 30 -** Progress made in the efficient use of electricity interconnectors in the DA market timeframe over the last 8 years – percentage of available capacity (NTC) used in the 'right direction' in the presence of a significant price differential (>1 euro/MWh)



### Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) Statistical Factsheet 2017. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Publications/Statistics/Factsheet/entsoe\_sfs\_2017.pdf ENTSO-E (2016) Statistical Factsheet 2015. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Publications/Statistics/Factsheet/entsoe\_sfs2015\_web.pdf ACER (2018) Annual Report on the Results of Monitoring the Internal Electricity and Natural Gas Markets in 2017. Available from: https://acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Publication/MMR%202017%20-%20ELECTRICITY.pdf

# 3.4 Market Network Codes

# Context

The European Market Network Codes harmonise the operational practices for cross-border trade in long- and short-term electricity products and reserves, as well as harmonising procedures related to imbalance settlements. There are three codes:

- Forward Capacity Allocation (FCA): sets out the terms for cross-zonal capacity calculation and allocation in forward markets. This allows market participants to secure capacity on cross border times some time in advance.
- Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management (CACM): outline binding guidelines for the operation of harmonised day-ahead and intra-day markets across Europe and defines methodologies for calculating how much capacity there is for safe use on cross border lines.
- Electricity Balancing (EB): aims to create a market where countries can at all times share the resources used by their TSOs to make generation equal demand. It is also about allowing new players such as demand response and renewable to take place in the market.

# Status

All Market Network Codes entered implementation phase by the beginning of 2017. The current state of implementation of all the Market Network Codes are shown below (Figure 31). The majority (72) of the deliverables for all the responsible bodies are 'in progress'.

# **CASE STUDY**



# Value created by the network codes

The development of the market codes carries the promise of direct and noticeable welfare gains for the European consumer. Increasing the size and liquidity of energy markets across Europe in theory allows for more efficient dispatch and market outcomes, helping to keep prices competitive. FTI -ENTSO-E research suggests that €0.7 – 1 billion per year of social welfare gains are realisable from the implementation of the network codes. ACER analysis suggests that greater progress in the development of the energy markets could lead to even greater welfare gains estimated at around €5 billion per year.





# **Figure 31 -** Status of deliverables under CACM, EB and FCA implementation programme (source: ENTSO-E)

All Market Network Codes entered implementation phase by the beginning of 2017

### Sources:

ACER (2018) Market Codes – Guidelines on the Integration and Functioning of EU's Internal Electricity Market. Available from: https://acer.europa.eu/en/Electricity/ MARKET-CODES/Pages/default.aspx

ENTSO-E (2018) *Network Codes.* Available from: https://electricity.network-codes.eu/network\_codes/

ENTSO-E (2017) Annual report – network codes, the value created by network codes. Available from: https://annualreport2017.entsoe.eu/network-codes/

S&P Global Platts (2018) *EU could gas billions if power bidding zones are changed. ACER.* Available from: https://www.spglobal.com/platts/en/market-insights/latest-news/electric-power/102318-eu-could-gain-billions-if-power-bidding-zones-are-changed-acer



# FROM **20.53**€ TO **20.48 €** CENTS/KWH

DECREASE IN AVERAGE RETAIL PRICE OF ELECTRICITY FOR HOUSEHOLDS FROM 2016

# €3-€5bn

WORTH OF NET SOCIAL **BENEFIT BY 2030** CAN BE DELIVERED BY **DEMAND-SIDE RESPONSE**  Chapter 4 - Customer

The Clean Energy Package rightly emphasises customer's central place in the energy transition. Initial progress has been made in encouraging industrial and household Context The electricity system is transitioning from a traditional model whereby power is sent from centralised plants directional flows, dynamic-demand, and smart appliances. changes to price signals, implementation of market codes and business models which deliver increasing set to change the role that consumers play in the energy market and pave the way for active market participation. Moving away from predictable energy usage to a more dynamic consumption pattern, the consumers' role is

customers to offer energy services, but more can be done to ensure that all customers can participate in a wider range of markets, with new technologies and greater to customers, to a new system characterised by multiaccess to information. This will provide greater choice, open new service-opportunities and enable more direct This shift will require investments in infrastructure, participation in the energy transition. This chapter considers the evolving energy services (4.1 flexibility to the grid. The rise of smart technologies look - 4.2) and the new technologies (4.3 - 4.4) which are supporting customer's taking a growing role at the heart of the transition.

Ultimately, the energy transition must deliver a secure, transitioning along with the wider energy system. sustainable and affordable energy system for European household and business use. This chapter also therefore Smart technologies and dynamic, system-cost reflective pricing can unlock the potential for demand response tracks the evolution of power prices and energy poverty as well (4.5 - 4.6), noting that with over 50 million flexibility. For instance, through shifting energy use from an Europeans thought to be in energy poverty, greater expensive time-period to another, efficiency opportunities progress can be made in this area also. can be capitalised upon. Flexibility providers can therefore

# Figure 32- New Multi-Sided Orchestration Platforms (source: ENTSO-E)



**31 MILLION MORE EVs** BY 2030

# 4.1 Demand Side Response (DSR)

help network operators ensure that the balance between supply and demand of electricity is maintained, with potential for savings to consumers as well.

Information flow



Analysis published by the European Commission services to the system. This type of DSR ('explicit'), (Newbury, D. et al) suggests that demand-side response benefit by 2030.

## Trends

signals (e.g. changing demand based on time-of-use tariffs), and *explicit* responses which are influenced by incentives offered in the wholesale, balancing, ancillaryconventionally measured via direct market payments.

side response (DSR), regulators are formally opening participation in energy markets to responders many of

underwritten by wholesale, balancing, ancillary-service can deliver between  $\in$ 3 -  $\in$ 5 billion worth of net social and capacity market contracts is playing an increasingly prevalent role. The emergence of aggregator business models has allowed industrial and commercial customers to actively engage in these energy markets and benefit There are two types of DSR: *implicit* response to price commercially from the delivery of DSR and flexibility services to the grid.

Although an increasing number of markets are more service and capacity markets. This subchapter considers favourable to demand response, the reporting of exact the development of explicit demand response which is volumes is low. Figure 33 provides a summary of the DSR volumes (MW) reported across four countries - Great Britain (GB), France, Finland and Belgium – which are at Additional to market-price driven ('implicit') demand the forefront of encouraging and reporting DSR. Between these markets, the reported volume of DSR increased by 225%, from 25,842 MW to 84,028 MW between 2016 which aggregate together smaller loads to offer flexibility and 2017. The values reported give the maximum DSR

capacity contracted in each service across a year, these requirements and generation sources. For example, capacities are not necessarily all available at the same scale is important, and load participation tends to be time or completely fulfilled. The French NEBEF market is conditional on a minimum bid size (MW). Whilst this gives dominant in terms of the volumes permitted to participate the incentive for increased aggregation, which has some on the market. Here, consumers can participate in the benefits (e.g. security of a more diverse asset portfolio), it energy market by shifting demand. A high proportion acts as a barrier to smaller market players and potentially (87.6%) of the capacity contracted in 2017 was fulfilled. reduces participation and competition. Member States can support increased levels of demand-response Even when markets are explicitly open to new energy to participate in balancing markets by opening these services including demand-response and aggregator products up to lower bid sizes.

participation, market rules are naturally slower to adapt and reflective of traditional market structures, service

# Figure 34 - Minimum size bid (MW) of load participating in balancing markets (source: ENTSO-E, 2017)

# Figure 33 - Volumes (MW) of demand side response reported in Great Britain (GB), France, Finland and Belgium from 2014-17<sup>14</sup>

DSR Volumes (MW)						
Country	Type of DSR	ENTSO-E	2014	2015	2016	2017
GB	Frequency Response STOR DSBR Fast Reserve Intraday	FCR RR RR FRR		374 1745 515	707 1821 0 180 97	617 1369 0 300
France	NEBEF (wholesale) FCR aFRR mFRR RR	FCR aFRR mFRR RR	900	3173.8	22169.16 10	78183.6 60 480 1075
Finland	Day Ahead Intraday FCR mFRR RR aFRR	FCR mFRR RR aFRR			379 70	400 100 241 200 10
Belgium	R1 R2 R3 Strategic Reserve ICH	FCR FRR mFRR RR		27 321 97	210	68 144 780
	Total (MW) Y-o-Y % Change 3-Year Average		900	6252.8 595%	25842.16 313%	84027.6 225% 378%

14 The online sources for these volumes are as follows, please note GB values are taken for the year in which the predominant share of the tax year sits. SEDC 2017, GB 2015 National Grid, GB 2016 National Grid, GB 2016 Ofgem, GB 2017 National Grid, France NEBEF RTE, France 2017 RTE, Finland 2016 Fingrid (potential demand), Belgium 2016 & 2017 Elia.



### Sources:

SmartEn (2017) Explicit Demand Response in Europe. Available from: http://www.smarten.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/SEDC-Explicit-Demand-Response-in-Europe-Mapping-the-Markets-2017.pdf ENTSO-E (2017) Survey on ancillary services, procurement, balancing market design. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/ Publications/Market%20Committee%20publications/WGAS\_Survey\_final\_10.03.2017.pdf Newbury, D et al (2013) Benefits of an integrated European Energy market. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/ documents/20130902\_energy\_integration\_benefits.pdf

Load participation- Product Resolution (in MW) The minimum big size into the balancing market.



# 4.2 Aggregators

# Context

Aggregators can empower customers and assist system plant owners or nationwide supermarket chains, opens operators by bringing together multiple small loads and generating assets to provide flexibility services range of consumers. Aggregators play an important role to the grid in return for compensation. They therefore can play an important role in delivering energy services from atypical sources such as industrial processes or household batteries, by altering the electricity consumption or production of consumers in response to market incentives and system needs.

subchapter provides an initial view on the number of aggregators operating in Europe.

# Trends

the type of services they offer. The three categories are electricity themselves (e.g. through solar PV) and those based on services offered: those that just aggregate that additionally store the energy produced (e.g. using power generation assets such as backup power home-batteries). Here again it is likely that aggregators generators, others that just aggregate electricity load possibly linked to energy suppliers – will continue to play (e.g. a business-site's demand for power), and the a role in the future, bridging the gap between individuals majority which do both. There is a strong link therefore and grid-level flexibility market players. between the development of demand side response In 2019, the European aggregator market can be

(DSR) as a flexible resource which can benefit the grid, and the development of aggregators. Indeed, the pooling of demand-resources from customers such as industrial up the energy market to greater participation from a as intermediaries, bringing together multiple sources of load/generation from customers who would otherwise find it too complex, too expensive or are too small to provide energy services to network operators.

Initially aggregator service offerings have been focused on larger industrial and commercial customers, for which These business models are in their infancy, and this the volumes of energy supply and demand are relatively large, and administration costs are therefore relatively manageable. Future smart meter and smart consumergoods rollouts create the opportunity for the expansion of these services to other customers, particularly prosumers There are three categories of aggregators, classified by and "prosumagers" – those consumers that generate



## Sources

BestRES (2016) Existing business models for renewable energy aggregators. Available from: http://bestres.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/BestRES\_Existing-business-models-for-RE-aggregators.pdf

characterised as being split between independent aggregators which offer specific energy services and/ As consumers engage more intimately with their energy or technologies, and larger energy retailers who have use, the business case for investment in newer technology developed competencies to complement their existing and energy efficiency may become more convincing. This supply businesses. The development of new energy in turn can drive faster decarbonisation and lower energy companies and services is evidence of technology and costs. However, to enable prosumers to come forward policy evolving together to enhance competition in the the energy system will also have to evolve. Data will need energy market. Independent aggregators have forged to become available to energy users or their appointed specialised service niches and offered new flexibility representatives to allow them to access and respond to services into the market (e.g. Limejump offering DSR price signals in a straightforward manner either actively into the balancing market for the first time in the UK). or with the support of third parties. Stronger cooperation Existing energy retailers have reacted by developing between Transmission System Operators (TSOs) and their own expertise, through both internal competency Distribution System Operators (DSOs) will be key. The development and external partnerships, mergers and roll out of smart meters with granular reading intervals acquisitions. Here Enel's acquisition of EnerNOC is a is also a key enabler which will allow organisations to recent example of the consolidation taking place in compile, utilise and communicate data in a meaningful the market, with mergers and acquisitions of smaller manner for prosumers. independent aggregators by the larger energy suppliers.

Figure 35 shows the results of a primary research exercise carried out for this publication, with 41 independent aggregators (without energy supply business) counted and at least 22 energy retailers engaging with these new services. This list has been compiled from an online literature review and is by its nature non-exhaustive given the rapid development of new businesses and new commercial models. For example, such aggregation services support a wider ecosystem of companies providing the technology and digital platforms which enable DSR and energy management. These companies have not been counted here as they do not directly Trends engage with aggregation, but the development of such technologies will be crucial to the successful integration

# 4.3 Prosumers and Smart **Consumer Technologies**

# Context

Prosumers are energy customers that, in addition to consuming, also generate electricity. They participate more actively in the energy system. The

- Beyond 2030, Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF) has forecast the share of EV sales in Europe could rise to 67% by 2040. Countries that have made early progress in emerging technologies that can be used at local level including Norway, France and the UK. The forecasts rely to deliver carbon reductions and reduce energy costs on likely future reductions in the price for lithium-ion batteries and prospects for other cost components in energy assets at local level can help balance the overall EVs and internal combustion engines. It also factors in energy system and thus support the penetration the rising EV commitments from automakers and the of more variable renewable energy sources. number of new EV models in development.
- rise of prosumers can be considered as an enabler of the energy transition for two core reasons: 1. Proactive consumers can maximise the potential of EV uptake are expected to be among the leaders in 2040, 2. If they can be operated dynamically, the spread of

Three consumer technologies are gaining commercial traction across Europe and are central to the decarbonisation of different sectors of the economy:

- Electric vehicles with "Vehicle to Grid" technology which can serve as power service providers
- Electric heat pumps that respond to DSR signals. offering the potential to flatten demand peaks or respond to other system needs
- Solar Photovoltaics when coupled with storage systems

# Electric vehicles (EVs)

of these flexibility services into the future energy system. In 2018 a total of 364,000 EVs (BEV and PHEVs) are estimated to have been sold in Europe, 26% higher than for 2017. The share of EV's in Europe is currently 2% of new car sales. In December 2018, the Trilogue (representatives from the European Commission, European Parliament and the European Council) agreed that EV sales should account for 15% of new car sales by 2025 and 35% by 2030. The Trilogue will convene in 2019 to discuss, negotiate and finalise the post-2020 targets for EV adoption.



Generation (prosumers at the centre) scenario of ENTSO-E's Ten-Year Network Development Plan (TYNDP).

The light blue dots show the level of uptake based on the current post-2020 regulation for EV adoption – 15% share by 2025 and 35% share by 2030. We assume new car sales in Europe continue to grow at the rate they have since 2013 (5% each year on average). By 2025, the level Solar PV of new car sales in Europe reach 22 million. By 2030, this In 2017, newly installed Solar PV capacity increased 31% 2030.

more EVs than under the EU's provisional target.

## Heat pumps

10.6 million. The European Heat Pump Association have unchanged from the year before. forecasted that the stock of heat pumps will increase to 14.5 million by 2020. This is based on a constant annual SolarPower Europe's medium scenario estimates total growth rate (11.5%) is constant to 2040, the stock of seeing total capacity of 269.4 GW, over twice the total heat pumps will increase to 129 million. Low and medium capacity in 2017. By 2025, TYNDP expect solar PV growth (derived from EHPA's assumptions) scenarios put the increase in heat pumps at 19 and 36 million units respectively.

Comparing this level of deployment with TYNDP's scenarios shows some differences and similarities. Under TYNDP's global climate action scenario, maximum

## Sources:

Arantegui. R et al 2018, 'Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review', vol. 81 - part 2, pp. 2460-2471, <a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/</a> S136403211731002X>

BP Energy Outlook 2018 Edition, <a href="https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/en/corporate/pdf/energy-economics/energy-outlook/bp-energy-outlook-2018.pdf">https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/en/corporate/pdf/energy-economics/energy-outlook/bp-energy-outlook-2018.pdf</a> Electric Vehicles, viewed 31 May 2018 <a href="http://www.acea.be/industry-topics/tag/category/electric-vehicles">http://www.acea.be/industry-topics/tag/category/electric-vehicles</a>

Electric Vehicles to Accelerate to 54% of New Car Sales by 2040, Bloomberg New Energy Finance, <a href="https://about.bnef.com/blog/electric-vehicles-acceler-">https://about.bnef.com/blog/electric-vehicles-acceler-</a> ate-54-new-car-sales-2040/>

ENTSO-E Ten Year Network Development Plan 2018, <a href="https://www.entsog.eu/publications/tyndp#ENTSOG-TEN-YEAR-NETWORK-DEVELOP-">https://www.entsog.eu/publications/tyndp#ENTSOG-TEN-YEAR-NETWORK-DEVELOP-</a> MENT-PLAN-2018>

EV Volumes, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S136403211731002X>

Global EV Outlook 2018, International Energy Agency, <#https://www.iea.org/gevo2018/>

Global Market Outlook 2017, SolarPower Europe, <a href="http://solarpowereurope.org/reports/global-market-outlook-2017/">http://solarpowereurope.org/reports/global-market-outlook-2017/</a>

Heat Pumps and EU Targets, European Heat Pump Association 2017, <a href="http://www.ehpa.org/technology/heat-pumps-and-eu-targets/">http://www.ehpa.org/technology/heat-pumps-and-eu-targets/</a>

PV Europe, <http://www.pveurope.eu/News/Markets-Money/90-GW-residential-solar-by-2021>

Figure 36 shows actual sales of electric vehicles in Europe heat pump deployment is 58 million by 2040. This between 2013 and 2018. A trendline has been created compares with 129 million from the EHPA. Similarities based on the growth in historic EV sales. The yellow dots in deployment exist in the other two scenarios. Under show the level of EV sales. The dark blue dots show the TYNDP's sustainable transition scenario heat pumps level of EV uptake in 2020 and 2030 under the Distributed increase to 18 million and under the distributed generation scenario, deployment reaches 35 million. These deployment levels match the low and medium growth levels charted. It can be argued that a growth rate of 11.5% cannot be sustained indefinitely and therefore a deployment level of 129 million heat pump units in Europe is potentially challenging.

increases to 28 million. Applying the target shares yields to 9.2 GW. Growth was driven largely by Turkey which over 3 million EV sales in 2025, rising to over 8 million by added 2.6 GW of capacity while Germany, a distant second, added 1.8 GW of capacity. Of this newly installed capacity, 26% was attributed to residential rooftops. Deployment of EVs under the TYNDP's DG scenario is Across Europe, the share of residential solar varied more aggressive relative to the EU's provisional targets. across member states - Romania had a share of less By 2030, TYNDP estimate there to be around 31 million than 1%, while Belgium and the Netherlands had shares around 60%.

Total Solar PV capacity in Europe reached 114.0 GW In Europe 1.11 million heat pumps were sold in 2017, up in 2017 up 9% on the year before. Germany (38%) and 11% on 2016. The total stock of heat pumps increased to Italy (17%) operate over half of this capacity and this is

growth of 11.5%. Between 2011 to 2016, average annual capacity to reach 208 GW by the end of 2022, an 82% growth was 13% with a standard deviation of 2% - in line increase on the level in 2017 (114 GW). A low scenario with the EHPA's 2020 target (11.5%). If we assume this would see total capacity of 164.9 GW and a high scenario installed capacity to increase to 189 GW.



2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030

# **Figure 37** - European heat pump projections (based on EHPA assumptions)



2016 2018 2020 2022 2024 2026 2028 2030 2032 2034 2036 2038 2040

# Figure 36 - Electric vehicle sales (BEV & PHEV) in respect to EU targets and TYNDP 2018 (EUCO)



# Potential stock of heat pumps in Europe



# 4.4 Microgrids

# Context

Microgrids are mainly used in rural or remote areas and in support. some industrial networks to link decentralised generation to localised demand. Indeed, in a densely populated **Trends** Europe with meshed grids, there is no real incentive to go off-grid. Microgrids can be stand-alone or can be tied to the central grid. The opportunities of integrating microgrids together and with the central power system

centralised systems. Building these bridges across systems will allow for better security of supply (microgrids being able to support the central system and viceversa) and more opportunities to value decentralised system in which TSOs and DSOs are highly effective at

generation. This will be contingent on the development of novel business models, smart technology applications, increased energy storage and bespoke regulatory

Research conducted by Navigant has tracked the development of active grid-tied and remote microgrid projects. Worldwide, by the end of 2017, an installed capacity of 20GW had been realised. Of this, Europe will be facilitated in the future as digitalisation progresses. accounted for roughly 9% - 1.8GW (Figure 39). The European projects are predominantly located in remote, These localised systems will co-exist with the more sparsely populated areas such as the Mediterranean, the Canary Islands and the Faroe Islands where macrogrid connections may be less reliable. This locational feature is indicative of the highly integrated European energy

# Figure 38 - Microgrid capacity in Europe in GW (source: Navigant)



## Sources:

Asmus, P (2017) Is Finland Europe's Best Hope for Microgrids? Navigant Research.

Available at: https://www.navigantresearch.com/news-and-views/is-finland-europes-best-hope-for-microgrids

European Commission (2016). Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on common rules for the internal market in electricity. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2016/EN/COM-2016-864-F1-EN-MAIN-PART-1.PDF

Gangale F., Vasiljevska J., Covrig F., Mengolini A., Fulli G., Smart grid projects outlook 2017: facts, figures and trends in Europe.

Available at: https://ses.jrc.ec.europa.eu/smart-grids-observatory

Navigant Research (2018). Market Data: Microgrids. Available at: https://www.navigantresearch.com/reports/market-data-microgrids

integrating consumer energy demand into the system. That said, there has been an increase in microgrid development in Europe; between late 2012 and late Context 2017, microgrid capacity in Europe increased almost The price of electricity is often fundamental to the 6-fold. However, this is a slower rate than elsewhere in affordability of living in a home or running a business. The essential nature of electricity can expose consumers the world where the Middle East and Asia have seen the to fluctuations in price, for good or bad as a result of greatest increase in capacity over the same period, whilst North America and the Asian Pacific maintain around <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of inelastic demand. total installed capacity.

The shift to an energy system dominated by variable The combination of microgrid installations with smart renewable energy sources (RES) looks set to alter the traditional composition of market prices. The final retail technologies may be particularly influential in their ongoing development in local energy communities. Data price offered is a culmination of several components, collected by the European Commission Directoratewhich can be split into 'energy and supply', 'network costs', General Joint Research Group (JRC) for the Smart Grid and 'taxes, fees, levies and charges.' Unlike traditional Project Outlook Report demonstrates that there has fossil fuel generation, renewables have very high been an increased number of research and innovation upfront but near-zero marginal costs, creating potential downward pressure on wholesale prices (a component projects that involve smart microgrids. The most recent report (2017) shows that there are 28 ongoing projects of 'energy and supply'). However, the inherent variability (with fully completed entries in the JRC database). of some forms of RES such as solar or wind places an increasing role on the balancing system to ensure that the Collecting information on microgrids can be challenging equilibrium between demand and supply is maintained as they are not always affiliated with organisations potentially creating upward pressure on 'network costs.'

which report publicly. As the energy transition continues, and our understanding of their application and roll-out rate increases, a more holistic understanding of their integration into the European energy system will be developed.



# 4.5 Retail Electricity Prices

TSOs will play an important role in integrating new sources of generation and facilitating the energy transition. They play a crucial role in supporting the efficient and affordable integration of renewables into the system. Ultimately, this has an important influence on network costs and end prices for customers.

# Trends

2017 saw the average retail price of electricity decrease to 20.48 €cents/kWh for households from 20.53 €cents/ kWh in 2016<sup>15</sup>. Non-household consumers also saw a price decrease from 11.33 €cents/kWh in 2016 to 11.21 €cents/kWh in 2017 (for 'medium' consumption: 500MWh to 1999MWh). Figure 39 shows the retail price for the 'medium' households since 2012, as well as the proportional contributions of the different components to the price each year. The 'network cost' is the ratio between the revenue related to transmission and distribution tariffs and the corresponding volume of kWh for the consumption band for each year. 'Energy and supply' is the total price minus the 'network cost' and all taxes and levies.

15 The values are for average, 'medium' consumption households, those that consume between 2500kWh-4999kWh, and are aggregate to an EU-28 level



# Figure 39 - Retail price for medium consumption (2500kWh to 4999kWh) household consumers and the proportional contribution of the different components towards the price, from 2012-2017 (source: Eurostat)



# EU-28 Electricity price and component proportions for households

# Figure 40 - EA-19 household electricity prices relative to income, 2012-2017 (source: Eurostat)



Between 2012 and 2017 'energy and supply' made up a **Trends** decreasing proportion of the final price, whilst 'network Energy poverty is an issue of key importance for costs' have stayed relatively stable across this time. It policymakers. For instance, the European Commission suggests that efforts made by network operators and launched the Energy Poverty Observatory (EPOV) in early regulators have mitigated against any upward price 2018 to improve the availability of information on this pressure from the variability of RES generation. This topic. outturn is consistent when looking at non-household prices. There is no single measure for energy poverty across

Europe. The following 'Energy Poverty Index' (EPI) (see These changes in the retail price are, however, relatively Figure 41) blends various measures into one<sup>16</sup>, with data arbitrary, unless they are made relative to any shifts in from EPOV and Eurostat on energy prices, self-reported income levels. For household consumers, what matters energy poverty levels and the income of the lowest most is the proportion of their incomes spent on quartile having been combined. The higher the EPI value, electricity and resultant impact on disposable income. the higher energy poverty is across Europe. The EPI has Figure 40 illustrates this relative change for households. been developed to give an overarching figure, which can It shows that the affordability of electricity has improved be used as a reference for the energy poverty situation in each year since 2014. In 2017 incomes rose and prices Europe across time. fell, continuing this trend. Again, this is consistent with the trend observed with non-household consumer prices The EPI has trended downwards over recent years with relative to GDP. the value falling to 74.2, from 82.6, between 2015 and

# 4.6 Energy Poverty

## Context

Energy is an indispensable good. Evidence shows that living under uncomfortable temperatures and the stress of high energy bills is associated with respiratory and cardiac illness. Energy poverty occurs when the energy services (providing warmth, cooling, lighting, cooking and power) that can be afforded in the home fall below an adequate standard to provide a fulfilling quality of life. Although there is no fixed definition agreed across Europe, between 50 and 125 million people are estimated to be in energy poverty. Eradicating it is a priority of the European Commission and Member States.

## Sources:

European Environment Agency (2018) Share of renewable energy in gross final energy consumption. Available from: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/renewable-gross-final-energy-consumption-4/assessment-2 Eur-Lex (2018) Directive 2008/92/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Available from: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1407855626600&uri=CELEX:32008L0092> Eurostat (2018) Electricity prices. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Electricity\_prices,\_Second\_semester\_of\_2015-2017\_(EUR\_per\_kWh).png

Eurostat (2018) Electricity prices components for household consumers – annual. Available from: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=nrg\_pc\_204\_c&lang=en

Eurostat (2018) Electricity prices components for non-household consumers – annual. Available from: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=nrg\_pc\_205\_c&lang=en

Eurostat (2018) Mean and median income by household type - EU-SILC survey. Available from: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/submitViewTableAction.do

Statista (2018) Growth of the real gross domestic product (GDP) in the European Union and the Euro area from 2012 to 2022 (compared to the previous year). Available from: https://www.statista.com/statistics/267898/gross-domestic-product-gdp-growth-in-eu-and-euro-area/

2016, indicating a decreasing level of energy poverty across Europe. It is however still above the 2009 level of 65.1. The year-on-year improvement since 2013 can largely be explained by the rising incomes of the bottom guartile across the EU and relatively stable gas and electricity prices. Although these incomes have risen steadily since 2009, the electricity and gas prices for

16 The EPI calculations took EU-level averages each year for each variable. The calculation uses a weighted average of household electricity and gas prices to reflect the proportion of each in space heating. This is then multiplied by the self-reported percentage of those unable to keep their home adequately warm as one of EPOV's primary energy poverty indicators. The value is then divided by the average income of the first quartile of earners, arguably those most vulnerable to energy poverty. Finally, the value is multiplied by a scale factor to make the numbers more palatable.



# Between 50 and 125 million people are estimated to be in energy poverty. Eradicating it is a priority of the European Commission and Member States.

households were far cheaper than in 2017, which is why measures. One of these primary measures, 'Inability to the EPI still sits above the 2009 level.

between European countries. Traditionally, there has between countries within the EU (see Figure 42). been an uneven concentration of energy poverty across Europe, with Eastern Europe the worst affected. This is reiterated from the EPI with Bulgaria (835.6), Lithuania (414.8) and Romania (384.7) all having values well above the 2017 European average (74.2).

The lack of a common definition for energy poverty means that EPOV tracks a variety of indicators, which together build a picture of the current position of energy poverty in Europe. These are split into primary and secondary

Keep Home Adequately Warm,' provides a trend on the self-reported level of energy poverty across Europe. This The EPI also shows a strong variation in energy poverty measure reiterates the vast differences in energy poverty



# Figure 42 - Inability to keep home adequatly warm, country level, 2016 (source: EPOV, 2018b)



### Sources:

EPOV (2018) What is energy poverty? Available from: https://www.energypoverty.eu/about/what-energy-poverty

EPOV b (2018) Inability to Keep Home Adequately Warm. Available from: https://www.energypoverty.eu/indicator?primaryId=1461&type=bar&from=2016&to=2016&countries=AT,BE,BG,CH,CY,CZ,DE,DK,EE,EL,ES,EU,FI,FR,HU,HR,IE,IS,IT,LT,LU,LV,MT,NL,NO,PL,PT,RO,RS,SE,SI,SK,UK&disaggregation=none

Eurostat (2018) Distribution of Income by Quartiles. Available from: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=ilc\_di01&lang=en

Thomas, H., Snell, S. and Bouzarovski, S (2017) Health, Well-being and Energy Poverty in Europe: A comparative Study of 32 European Countries. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5486270/





# €114 bn

**INVESTMENTS IN GRID INFRASTRUCTURE FOR TRANSMISSION AND STORAGE PROJECTS BY 2030** 

# €43 bn per year

EXTRA COST OF 'NO GRID' SCENARIO BY 2040

# **EUROPEAN** COUNTRIES

# **Chapter 5 -** Infrastructure Development

In its modelling of a "no grid" scenario for 2040, ENTSO-E demonstrates that a lack of investment in the transmission system would increase marginal costs (3%-29%), double clean energy curtailment, and harm security of supply.

The grid is a prerequisite for the cost-effective integration Reaching Europe's climate and energy targets and of variable renewables and therefore needed for GHG emission reductions. Suitable investment in the grid engagements under the Paris Climate Agreement will require a mix of solutions (energy efficiency, optimisation enables better market integration, competitive power of the use of the existing grid, more responsive-demand, prices and the continuous access to electricity for all geographical optimisation of renewables generation Europeans. - to name a few). However, meeting these climate and TSOs are responsible for designing national, regional offs of investment options and are an essential tool

energy targets will not be possible without extending the physical grid. This is not only essential for lowering and pan-European grid development plans. Modelling emissions, such action will also reduce customer bills in exercises provide information on cost-benefit tradethe long term and avoid clean energy spillage. for regulators and policy makers. Likewise, these plans Expanding (as well as adapting) the power transmission are used by investors to better understand where the extension of infrastructure will likely need to take place. network is therefore required. TSOs are engaged with spending on research and innovation (R&I) (5.1), ENTSO-E is responsible for producing the Ten-Year interconnector targets (5.2) and European Projects of Network Development Plan (TYNDP) which analyses Common Interest (PCIs) (5.3). Increasing interconnection not only pan-European transmission but also storage across Europe is one of several technical developments projects. In its modelling exercise, ENTSO-E gathers a which will support the integration of variable renewables wealth of information and analysis of socio-economic, technological, environmental and market trends. This can into the power system. be used by other risk averse sectors (banking, insurance, Coupling the power-system with alternative sources etc.) policy-makers, investors, researchers and start-ups.

of energy supply and demand from other sectors of the economy (e.g. transportation or heating), is wellunderstood to offer value to the whole energy system As part of the 2018 TYNDP, ENTSO-E carried out an inand support decarbonisation. Here again, infrastructure investment will be key to promote power to gas and other P2X technologies.

One illustration of moving sector coupling forward, are the joint TYNDP scenarios co-created by ENTSO-E and ENTSOG.

# 5.1 Investments into Grid Development

# Context

# Trends

depth modelling exercise to consider the costs of failing to invest in developing the physical power grid in Europe through a 'No Grid' scenario. Using the organisation's expertise in power system analysis, credible assumptions and relevant data, the analysis details the likely costs of inaction by 2040.

Figure 43 is a comparison of the marginal cost in each region under No Grid and the 'scenario grid', in which there is enough investment in grid infrastructure to facilitate all the projected TYNDP scenarios in the report. Under No Grid, the average marginal price rises for all regions. The increases range from +3% to +29% depending on region. By 2040 the cost of this ('No Grid' scenario) would be an extra bill of €43 billion per year in the average case. Over several years, this would be above the total expected cost of €150 billion for the new grid in the TYNDP 2016, plus internal reinforcements and a discount rate of 25%.



# **Figure 43** - Range and average annual marginal cost per regional group in 2 grid scenarios (source: ENTSO-E)



Marginal cost yearly average Range and average of all scenarios and climate years







Additionally, under the No Grid scenario each region peaking plants run on fossil fuels, this could create would see a higher level of curtailed energy as illustrated in Figure 44. Modelling suggests at least 156 TWh of renewable energy will be wasted (curtailed) per year on The ENTSO-E Ten-Year Network Development Plan average. This is resultant from a lack of cross-border capacity to export renewable energy, creating wastage. billion annually) of investments in grid infrastructure of curtailed energy. This signals that further reducing corroborated by a similar figure in the annual investment the future levels of curtailed energy would require level envisaged by the OECD, IEA and EC of around €9.9 greater network development, storage deployment billion. and a complimentary geographical spread of RES. The

challenges for GHG emission reduction efforts.

(TYNDP) 2018 foresees up to €114 billion (~€10.4 Even under the scenario grid, there is still a large amount for transmission and storage projects by 2030. This is

No Grid scenario creates cross-border limitations that The 'Technologies for Transmission System' report would mean local production peaking units would have from ENTSO-E provides a high-level assessment on to compensate for these constraints. Given that such the availability of transmission technologies, today and

technologies, combined with current technologies, have a percentage of TSO revenue between 2010-2015. As their own learning curves and innovation cycles. This ongoing technological progress means that investment in R&I plays a part in the development of a European Since 2015 there has been ongoing interaction between technologies.

- up to 2030, and highlights the opportunities for project TSOs are currently spending on average less than 0.5% of
- promoters to implement new solutions to cope with their annual turnover on R&I. Further details of spending
- future network development. These new and innovative are shown in Figure 46 which indicates R&I spending as

  - an illustrative target, the Europe 2020 strategy sets an
  - objective for R&D expenditure as a proportion of GDP (3%).
- grid that is futureproofed, and compatible with emerging industry and policymakers, via ACER, aimed at improving the regulatory framework on R&I and advocating for
  - innovation incentive mechanisms.





# Figure 45 - Projected investment breakdown per ENTSO-E member country (2014-2030),

Figure 46- R&I spending in % of TSO revenues (2010-2015) (source: ENTSO-E)

# Proportion of TSO revenues spent on research and innovation



5.2 Interconnection Target

# Context

In October 2014, the European Council set a target for Member States to achieve an interconnection level of 10% of their installed electrical production by 2020. Trends This has been extended to 15% by 2030 under the Figure 47 illustrates the interconnection level for each Clean Energy Package and reflects the importance country as a percentage of their installed electrical of interconnection. In order to make the 2030 target production. Between 2016 and 2017, 15 European operational, the Commission set up an expert group countries increased their interconnection levels relative (ITEG) consisting of 15 key European Stakeholders, to their installed electrical production capacity, however including ENTSO-E and ACER. The recommendations for 5 countries this ratio dropped. Therefore, in 2017, from this group were proposed in September 2017. 17 countries exceeded the 10% target. By 2020 four Following these recommendations, the Commission countries are expected to miss this target: Cyprus, Spain, will operationalise the 15% target through more specific the UK and Poland. thresholds to indicate the urgency of action needed. Additional interconnection action should be prioritised The Figure 48 shows the extent to which countries are by Member States, TSOs, regulators or European meeting the three thresholds, outlined above. institutions if any of the three thresholds are met: The map indicates that increasing the interconnection

1. The price differential exceeds an indicative threshold boundary between the Iberian Peninsula and the rest of Europe, for example, is one of the most congested of €2/MWh between countries, regions or bidding in Europe. Policymakers show support for increased zones

# Figure 47- Interconnection levels in 2016 and 2017 (Source: European Commission and ENTSO-E)



# Sources

ENTSO-E (2018) R&I Roadmap 2017-2026. Available from: http://riroadmap.entsoe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/entsoe\_ri\_roadmap\_2017-2026.pdf ENTSO-E (2016) TYNDP 2016. Available from: https://www.entsoe.eu/publications/tyndp/tyndp-2016/ ENTSO-E (2018) European Power System 2040. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/TYNDP%20documents/TYNDP2018/european\_pow er\_system\_2040.pdf

- 2. Nominal transmission capacity of interconnectors is < 30% of peak load
- 3. Nominal transmission capacity of interconnectors is < 30% of installed renewable generation capacity

- of peninsulas to the main European grid is a priority. The

# Interconnection capacity installed as a percentage of installed electricity production capacity



Figure 48 - Colour-coded map of Europe showing countries currently meeting 2030 target thresholds (source: European Commission)



Portugal.

The EU promotes interconnection development and investment through a series of Projects of Common Interest (PCIs – see 5.3 below). These PCIs are key infrastructure projects and the latest round of PCIs in 2017 underlined the importance of electricity 5.3 Projects of Common Interest interconnection, with 61% of the 173 projects selected for electricity transmission and storage needs. The selected electricity PCIs should allow for Member States to meet or progress towards their targets in 2020 and

capacity here, with the Madrid Declaration (March 2015) 2030, specifically the latest round of PCIs aim to facilitate signed by the European Council, France, Spain and regional integration of the Iberian Peninsula with France, Ireland with Continental Europe (Celtic Link) and across the Central South-Eastern region.

# Context

Projects of Common Interest (PCIs) are key infrastructure projects that connect energy networks across Europe with the objective of delivering affordable, secure and

### Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) TYNDP 2018 Executive Report: Available from: https://tyndp.entsoe.eu/Documents/TYNDP%20documents/TYNDP2018/consultation/Main%20 Report/TYNDP2018 Executive%20Report.pdf

ACER (2018) Annual Report on the Results of Monitoring the Internal Electricity and Natural Gas Markets in 2017. Available from: https://acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Publication/MMR%202017%20-%20SUMMARY.pdf

European Commission (2018) Projects of Common Interest. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/infrastructure/projects-common-interest European Commission (2017) Communication on strengthening Europe's energy networks. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/communication on infrastructure 17.pdf

sustainable energy for all citizens. These projects have been granted special regulatory conditions and/or funding to aid their completion. Typically, PCIs should help at least two EU countries, improve the functioning of energy markets and enable market integration, to boost competition, diversify energy sources and integrate renewables. These strategically important projects can benefit from improved regulatory conditions, lower administration costs and opportunities to obtain funding from a pot of €35.35 billion.

The Regulation (EU) No 347/2013 states that PCIs are selected from the TYNDP. It means that a promoter wanting to have a project labelled as a PCI first needs to apply for the project to be included in ENTSO-E's TYNDP. Figure 49 illustrates where PCIs sit in accordance with the TYNDP, regional and national development plans.

# Trends

The PCIs of 24 November 2017 included 106 projects related to electricity and storage. These were selected from projects included in the 2016 TYNDP. Many electricity PCIs are in an advanced phase - 63% are at permitting stage or beyond. In 2017-2018 the progress made in electricity PCIs showed that 1 was commissioned, 68 stayed the same status, 14 indicated progress and 1 project regressed. This means that 53% of electricity PCIs are "on time" with a further 4% "ahead of schedule" (see Figure 50).

According to ACER, the average expected duration of implementation of electricity PCIs is around 10.5 years, with the shortest duration less than 3 years and longest over 19 years. For 105 of the electricity PCIs, the total cost of investment is €49.3 billion (in 2018 values) of which 82% is attributed to transmission projects, 17% on storage and 1% on smart grids. This is a similar level to a total of €51.8 billion from the 2015 list, based on TYNDP 2016 CAPEX values. For transmission PCIs, total considered benefits amount to €70 billion, which is €29.5 billion above the estimated costs, illustrating the value that PCIs can add.

Promoters of PCIs reported to have spent €5.8 billion on the current PCIs by the end of 2017. This spending to date may influence the number of PCIs reaching commissioned phase over the next few years. Indeed, compared to previous reports, ACER estimate that a lower cumulative share of PCIs will reach commissioned phase by 2024 (see Figure 51) and to get back on track around 50% of the overall budget should be spent in the





# Figure 51 - Cumulative share of PCIs reaching commissioned phase (%) (source: ACER)



## next 4 years.

Looking ahead, the TYNDP 2018 has around 39 additional projects that have been assessed on a cost-benefit analysis basis; these could be added to the 4th PCI list, which is due from the European Commission in Q4 2019.

### Sources:

ENTSO-E (2016) TYNDP 2016. Available from: https://www.entsoe.eu/publications/tyndp/tyndp-2016/

ENTSO-E (2018) TYNDPs and Projects of Common Interest. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/major-projects/ten-year-network-development-plan/ TYNDP%20link%20with%20PCIs/Pages/default.aspx

European Commission (2018) Projects of Common Interest. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/infrastructure/projects-common-interest ACER (2018) Consolidated Report on the progress of electricity and gas Projects of Common Interest for the year 2017. Available from: https://www.acer.europa.eu/ Official\_documents/Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Publication/Consolidated%20Report%20on%20the%20progress%20of%20electricity%20and%20gas%20Projects%20 of%20Common%20Interest%20for%20the%20year%202017.pdf

ACER (2017) Consolidated Report on the progress of electricity and gas Projects of Common Interest for the year 2016. Available from: http://www.acer.europa.eu/  $official\_documents/acts\_of\_the\_agency/publication/consolidated \$20 report \$20 on \$20 the \$20 progress \$20 of \$20 electricity \$20 and \$20 gas \$20 projects \$20 p$ of%20common%20interest%20for%20the%20year%202016.pdf

ACER (2016) Consolidated Report on the progress of electricity and gas Projects of Common Interest for the year 2015. Available from: https://www.acer.europa. eu/Official\_documents/Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Publication/CONSOLIDATED%20REPORT%200N%20THE%20PROGRESS%200F%20ELECTRICITY%20AND%20 GAS%20PROIECTS%200F%20COMMON%20INTEREST%20for%20the%20year%202015.pdf

# 5.4 Storage

Context Together these different levels of storage, represent a The TYNDP recognises that storage and other flexibility key suite of technologies, which help to solve some of resources will have an important role to play for the the balancing challenges faced today and those that will increasingly be faced tomorrow, as well as offering more system. Indeed, storage assets can improve the utilisation of RES generators, storing excess energy when the wind avenues for consumers to participate in the market. blows or sun shines rather than curtailing generation, Storage technologies can offer a wide-range of services and using the energy later when needed. All else equal, from regulating system frequency on a second-bythis reduces the investment needed in variable RES second basis, to dealing with inter-seasonal balancing capacity and can defer the need for costly infrastructure challenges across different times of year. upgrades. Thus, the take-up of storage assets becomes a potentially important enabler of the energy transition Storage technologies support the flexible operation to smooth generation and offer system services in the of the power system, helping to balance out the peaks future. and troughs in supply and demand across different

timescales. As Figure 53 suggests, different technologies Transmission grid connected storage encompasses have different characteristics which align with particular devices or technologies which store electrical energy service and value-added propositions. The flexible power in-front of consumer's meters - i.e. they are connected system of the future will need to develop ancillary directly to the grid. Traditionally, deployment has taken services and other market mechanisms which value place exclusively at this level, but recent cost reductions each of these. 2019 PowerFacts Europe report focuses in behind-the-meter consumer storage devices, create on technologies which have deployed in larger volumes the conditions for growth in distributed storage systems today, namely pumped hydro (PHS) and battery storage.

# Figure 52- Future cost of electrical energy storage relative to time (source: Imperial College London)





(see Figure 52).



# Trends

Since the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, pumped hydro-power storage (PHS) has been present in the European energy system and is the most widely deployed storage technology in Europe in terms of capacity. PHS is a relatively dependable storage and generation technology, which has been integrated into the energy system of numerous European countries.

researchers consider that for an advanced-RES system, lithium-ion batteries (240 MW installed) in this sector. storage power may need to cover up to 4% of annual electricity demand. For context, under the 2018 TYNDP GCS scenario modelling, by 2040 storage would need to equate to around 167 TWh a year to cover this share of annual electricity demand. This exceeds the upper-end of storage – both at a distributed and grid-level scale. of the Joint Research Centre's estimate for PHS potential in European countries (between 54-123 TWh) and, as a At the same time as this fall in battery storage costs, result, other forms of storage such as batteries are likely there has been a growth in the uptake of behind-theto be needed.

storage has fallen significantly as interest amongst UK, Nordic and German markets. The installed base has consumers, network operators and policy makers has seen a sharp rise in recent years, with just over a five-fold risen. The disruptive potential of battery storage is increase between 2015 and 2018, from ~250 MWh to a increasingly evident. As Figure 54 shows, the cost of predicted 1450 MWh in 2018, as shown in Figure 56. lithium-ion battery packs has particularly become more

cost-effective. This type of electro-chemical storage has seen a fall in costs by over 70% between 2010 and 2016. With costs also projected to fall to below \$200/ kWh by 2020, it seems likely that this will help to drive greater deployment of electro-chemical storage moving forwards.

Whilst current cumulative deployment of electrochemical storage is modest by comparison with PHS, An assessment of energy system studies suggests Figure 55 demonstrates the current dominance of New consumer offerings, such as electric vehicles and integrated solar and storage packages, will likely continue to support further cost reductions in this technology and provide further opportunities for an accelerated take-up

meter battery storage in Europe over the past few years. In recent years the cost of electro-chemical battery This distributed storage growth looks to be driven by





# Figure 55 - Electro-chemical storage deployment in Europe (MW), 2018 (source: US Department of Energy)









In addition to the growth in household battery deployment network challenges caused by the increasing EV-charging customers and system operators to derive value from between transport and power. an increasing number of behind-the-meter batteries - both second-life batteries from old vehicles and idle EVs. Here, vehicle to grid (V2G) technology can allow for control of a bi-directional flow of electricity between the vehicle battery and the grid. V2G allows for the smart charging and discharging of the vehicle's battery. Future market structures and pricing regimes could therefore align consumer's charging behaviour with network requirements.

For system operators, this smart charging capability creates the opportunity for idle EVs to provide valuable grid services. Additionally, it can mitigate against the

illustrated above, increasing demand for electric requirements which may otherwise require investment vehicles (EVs) (see section 4.3) creates opportunities for in infrastructure. This allows for "smart" sector-coupling

# Figure 56 - Distributed storage installed base (MW) (source: EASE and Delta EE)



# Figure 57 - Status of deliverables under RfG, DCC and HVDC implementation programme (source: ENTSO-E)



### Sources:

TYNDP 2018 Executive Report, ENTSO-E, 2018. <a href="https://tyndp.entsoe.eu/Documents/TYNDP%2040cuments/TYNDP2018/consultation/Main%20Report/">https://tyndp.entsoe.eu/Documents/TYNDP%2040cuments/TYNDP2018/consultation/Main%20Report/</a> TYNDP2018\_Executive%20Report.pdf>

Electro-chemical storage deployment in Europe, US Department of Energy, 2018. <a href="http://www.energystorageexchange.org/">http://www.energystorageexchange.org/</a> BNEF (2017) Lithium-ion Battery Costs: Squeezed Margins and New Business Models. Available from: https://about.bnef.com/blog/lithium-ion-battery-costssqueezed-margins-new-business-models/

sites/clima/files/docs/pages/com\_2018\_733\_analysis\_in\_support\_en\_0.pdf encedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032117311310.

EASE & Delta EE (2018) European Market Monitor on Energy Storage (EMMES). Available from: http://ease-storage.eu/emmes-2-0-june-2018/

am/10044/1/50848/10/20170620\_FINAL\_ExpCurves\_Main.pdf





- Assessment of the European potential for pumped hydropower energy storage, Joint Research Centre, 2013. <a href="https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/">https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</a>
- European Commission (2018) A Clean Planet for all in-depth analysis in support of the Commission communication. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/clima/
- Blanco, H. & Faaij, A (2018) A review at the role of storage in energy systems with a focus on Power to Gas and long-term storage. Available from: https://www.sci-
- Imperial College London (2018) The future cost of electrical energy storage based on experience rates. Available from: https://spiral.imperial.ac.uk/bitstre



# 5.5 Connection Network Codes

The Connection Network Codes are designed to create harmonised and fair rules to enable generation plants, demand response facilities and HVDC to connect to the transmission and distribution networks. The codes use a flexible framework that recognises the different rate of development and individual constraints in different European countries, including physical geography, scale and economic factors that impact transmission infrastructure.

- Requirements for Generators (RfG): codes set the standards for all synchronous and converterpowered generators connecting to the grid. Existing generators are only subject to the code if significant changes are carried out. Reserve generators that are not synchronised to the system are not obligated.
- Demand Connection (DCC): set the requirements . for connecting large renewable energy production plants and demand response facilities; existing connections are subject to the requirements if significant changes take place in them. If an installation does not meet the requirements, the network operator may withhold a permit to the connection.
- High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC): specify the long-distance direct current connection requirements which are used to connect offshore wind generation with mainland energy systems, or to connect cross-country generation with loads over long distances.

# Status

Of the 6 total deliverables for the Connection Network Codes, 50% are closed and 50% are in progress. Each of the DCC, HVDC and RfG codes have two deliverables, they are "Monitoring" and developing "Non-binding guidance ■ €300-500 million of losses from load on implementation".

## Sources:

ENTSO-E (2018) Demand Connection Codes. Available from: https://electricity. network-codes.eu/network\_codes/dcc/

ENTSO-E (2018) Network Codes. Available from: https://electricity.network-codes.eu/network\_codes/

UTCE (2007) System Disturbance on 4 November 2006. Available from: https:// www.entsoe.eu/fileadmin/user\_upload/\_library/publications/ce/otherreports/Final-Report-20070130.pdf

# **CASE STUDY**



It is necessary to ensure that robust and appropriately designed codes are in place to support the integration of new generation assets into the grid; 260 GW of PV and wind are scheduled to be incorporated into the system. Furthermore, 11 GWs of demand response are expecting to come online.

The 2006 'system split' was a major event which had widespread social and economic implications. Had some key Network Codes already been implemented, such as RfG, SOGL and E&R, the repercussions of the system split may have been minimised:

- 17GW of load being shed
- 15 million households being cut off from their energy supply
- shedding
- 20GW of generation tripped or disconnected.



# 200 mn

SMART METERS FOR ELECTRICITY WILL BE **INSTALLED BY 2020** 

# 10 mn

FILES EACH YEAR ARE **RECEIVED BY** ENTSOE'S TRANSPARENCY PLATFORM RECEIVES

**117 BILLION DATA** POINTS A YEAR FROM ELECTRICAL SMART METERS BY 2020

# Chapter 6 - Cyber Physical Grid

For the power system, the rise of the "Cyber Physical Take smart meters as an example. Smart meters in Grid" corresponds to the integration of an emerging ICT Europe commonly support a level of data granularity layer 'on top of' the physical grid: this digital layer thus whereby a reading can be taken every 15 minutes. Given complements and enhances the existing infrastructure, that the European Commission has estimated that 200 but it is not meant or able to replace it. Digital enhances million smart meters for electricity will be installed by the physical grid. This delivers value to customers and 2020 based on an assessment of national commitments, market-actors, maintains and enhances security of that's 117 billion data points a year alone from electrical supply, helps utilise the grid cost-effectively and facilitate smart meters by 2020, not to mention the millions the energy transition. of additional sensors built into the transmission and distribution grids across Europe.

Ultimately, digitalisation and the development of the cyber physical grid will enable the timely and transparent transfer The challenges of mining and managing very large and of large amounts of data with extremely low transaction complex datasets, and the opportunities born from costs to facilitate some core development areas: using the data intelligently is at the core of the "big data" concept, and an important opportunity for network Optimisation of existing power assets and integration operators moving forward. Data mining algorithms and artificial intelligence-driven analytics can help TSOs of new ones Optimisation of energy system management and manage assets, predict and respond to potential problems interactions between DSOs in the network, and integrate distributed resources in an Support of coupling with heat, gas and transport effective and efficient manner.

- sectors
- Automation and overall optimisation of the power Trends system and the introduction of new energy services
- data to enable market functioning.

Whilst the digital revolution is now underway, tracking as Enel X) by Enel and Eneco Group's investment in Next its progress isn't straightforward. This chapter takes two Kraftwerke are both cases in point from 2017. parameters which are indicative of the progress being made towards a cyber physical grid. The first considers Alongside this, TSOs are developing their own digital ENTSO-E's position as a planner and director of research capabilities by supporting R&I projects. Here collaboration is key. With the development of an integrated European and innovation for TSO investment in big data projects. The second subchapter analyses the increased use of power system, the best approach is to cooperate and energy system data, as proxied by the number of users share the fruits of innovation. Such cooperation is registered on ENTSO-E's Transparency Platform. necessary if Europe's power system is to transition forward to meet societies' ambition for clean, secure, abundant and cost-effective energy.

# 6.1 Big data use

# Context

Digitalisation will create numerous opportunities to deliver value to customers and stakeholders, through the provision of new services, the establishment of securer networks and facilitation of more efficient markets. However, the exponential increase in data generated by the energy system presents certain challenges for network operators.

Utilities are increasingly investing in digital platforms to Support transparency and wide dissemination of take advantage of big data, improve asset management, grid design and network operation. The acquisition of smart technology-led aggregator EnerNOC (now branded

> ENTSO-E continues to play a role in the coordination of these pan-European R&I activities. ENTSO-E publishes periodic R&I roadmaps, implementation plans and *monitoring* reports – highlighting key topics for further work and setting a framework for joint-action.

Figure 58 illustrates that the balance of R&I project accomplishments as analysed by the monitoring report. Software (41%) and database (3%) projects together account for a significant proportion of the activity

![](_page_43_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

accomplished under the 2013-2022 roadmap. This supports the position that huge amounts of the value orientated clusters, which group together 23 R&I topics: creation from R&I in the coming decades will be made from integrating digital systems into the power network. 1. Power system modernisation

To fully achieve the vision of the Cyber Physical Grid, 3. Power system flexibility continued investments in R&D are needed to increase 4. Power system economics and efficiency the data processing capabilities across the entire energy value chain, borders and sectors. TSOs are cooperating with market players and stakeholders to develop market and system operation platforms and solutions according to the different layers of the cyber physical grid:

- Physical Grid Layer
- Data Laver
- System Operation Layer
- Market Layer
- Sector Coupling

Looking forward, in the 2017-2026 Research, Development & Innovation (R&I) Roadmap ENTSO-E Roadmap - ICT and digitalisation of the power system provided a medium to long-term vision for research as well as in other specific relevant topics, for example and innovation activities performed by TSOs. The publication released in June 2017, identified 5 challenge-

- 2. Security and system stability

- 5. ICT and digitalisation of power system

ENTSO-E have made a preliminary estimate of the funding available for each R&I topic, based on the sum of EU programme support (e.g. Horizon 2020), funding from Member States, as well as finance from TSOs themselves and private investors. For topic 13, which trials the use of big data to support smart asset management technologies, the estimated budget is €8 million.

Future editions of this report will track the progress made in the cyber physical grid layers in cluster 5 of the "tools for smart asset management" - (included in

## Sources:

ENTSO-E (2016) R&I Roadmap 2017-2026. Available from: http://riroadmap.entsoe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/ ENTSO-E (2016) R&I Monitoring Report 2015. Available from: http://rdmonitoring.entsoe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/ ENTSO-E (2017) R&I Implementation Plan. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/Publications/

![](_page_44_Picture_19.jpeg)

cluster 1) which intends to develop new smart asset management technologies, using big data processing and predictions to take advantage of network monitoring equipment.

# 6.2 Data accessibility: ENTSO-E Transparency Platform

# Context

It is important that energy market information is openly shared to enable the benefits of digitalisation to be This subchapter evaluates the use of ENTSO-E's Transparency Platform (TP), a pioneering endeavour enjoyed by customers and industry-stakeholders. To facilitate this, TSOs are developing data hubs and data which provides accurate, and timely information on the exchange platforms, which makes relevant information state of the electricity system across Europe - to all available to different agents and users. There are participants openly and for free. The platform provides numerous examples of national data-exchanges which data on demand (forecasts and outturns), generation by support coordination between TSOs and DSOs and type and plant, capacity available, prices, cross-border flows, reserves available, outages and more. The TP other actors (see THEMA report for ENTSO-E for more information on this). has a part to play in delivering a secure, integrated, and

## Sources:

ENTSO-E (2016) Annual report 2016. Available from: http://annualreport2016.entsoe.eu/ ENTSO-E (2017) Annual report 2017. Available from: https://annualreport2017.entsoe.eu/ THEMA (2017) Data Exchange in Electric Power Systems – Commissioned by ENTSO-E. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/News/THEMA\_Report\_2017-03\_web.pdf

# Figure 58 - Areas of R&I achievement 2013-2016 (source: ENTSO-E R&I Roadmap 2017-2026)

Such open data provision is key to the efficient operation of an integrated European energy market and to maintaining Europe's security of supply. Accurate data bolsters market participants with the information needed to make efficient production, consumption and trading decision, and crucially is extended to all stakeholders regardless of size. The open provision of data is central to supporting new market entrants, the establishment of novel services, and more efficient outcomes, as well as ensuring that incumbents can trade and operate efficiently with one another.

![](_page_44_Picture_31.jpeg)

dynamic European power system of the future.

In practice, the TP is supported by a group of more than 50 data providers – TSO, power exchanges and other The platform receives over 10 million files each year, third parties. This group as well as members of the ENTSO-E-ran Transparency User Group have improved This is a process that will continue over coming years. The platform will also see improvements to usability over time.

# Trends

Since its establishment in 2015, the TP has grown in scope and influence. Providing a centralised database for European level electricity generation, transportation and consumption, the number of stakeholders using the platform has increased over time. Indeed during 2017, the number of registered users increased by 60%, from 6,700 to 10,700. Planned improvements to the platform's graphical user interface and manual of procedures are envisaged to support further increases in the number of

# Figure 59 - Number of registered users of ENTSO-E Transparency Platform

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Sources:

ENTSO-E (2016) Annual report 2016. Available from: http://annualreport2016.entsoe.eu/

ENTSO-E (2017) Annual report 2017. Available from: https://annualreport2017.entsoe.eu/

THEMA (2017) Data Exchange in Electric Power Systems - Commissioned by ENTSO-E. Available from: https://docstore.entsoe.eu/Documents/News/THEMA\_Report\_2017-03\_web.pdf

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

and between 2,000 - 2,500 of those users are active daily. Such open data provision is key to the efficient the completeness and quality of data uploaded over time. operation of an integrated European energy market and to maintaining Europe's security of supply.

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_14.jpeg)

# Glossary

				kWh	Kilowatt Hour	
ACER	Agency for the Cooperation of Energy	EHPA	European Heat Pump Association	LOLE	Loss of Load Expectation	
	Regulators	ENCS	European Network for Cyber Security	LT	Lithuania	
aFRR	Automatic Frequency Restoration Reserve	ENTSO-E	European Network of Transmission System	LU	Luxembourg	
AL	Albania		Operators for Electricity	LV	Latvia	
AT	Austria	ENTSOG	European Network of Transmission System	MAF	Mid-Term Adequacy Forecast	
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina		Operators for Gas	MARI	Manually Activated Reserves Initiative	
BE	Belgium	EPI	Energy Poverty Index	mFRR	Manual Frequency Restoration Reserve	
BEV	Battery Electric Vehicle	EPOV	European Union Energy Poverty Observatory	ME	Montenegro	
BG	Bulgaria	ER	Emergency and Restoration	МК	Macedonia	
BNEF	Bloomberg New Energy Finance	ES	Spain	MMR	Market Monitoring Report	
CACM	Capacity Allocation and Congestion	ETS	Emissions Trading Scheme	MRC	Multi-Regional Coupling	
	Management	EU	European Union	MW	Megawatt	
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure	EV	Electric Vehicles	MWh	Megawatt Hour	
CEE	Central and Eastern Europe	FCA	Forward Capacity Allocation	Mtoe	Mega Tonnes of Oil Equivalent	
CH	Switzerland	FCR	Frequency Containment Reserve	NEMO	Nominated Electricity Market Operator	
CGM	Common Grid Model	FEC	Final Energy Consumption	NGO	Non-Government Organisation	
COP	Conference of Parties	FI	Finland	NL	Netherlands	
CWE	Central West Europe	FR	France	NO	Norway	
CY	Cyprus	GB	Great Britain	OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and	
CZ	Czech Republic	GCS	Global Climate Action Scenario		Development	
DA	Day Ahead	GDP	Gross Domestic Product	OPDE	Operational Planning Data Environment	
DAOA	Day Operational Agreement	GHG	Greenhouse Gas	P2X	Power-to-X	
DE	Germany	GR	Gibraltar	PCI	Projects of Common Interest	
DCC	Demand Connection	GW	Gigawatt	PCR	Price Coupling of Regions	
DK	Denmark	HR	Croatia	PHEV	Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle	
DSO	Distribution System Operator	HU	Hungary	PHP	Pumped Hydro-Power	
DSR	Demand-Side-Response	HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	PHS	Pumped Hydro Storage	
EB	Electricity Balancing	ICT	Information and Communication Technology	PICASSO	Platform for International Coordination of	
EBGL	Electricity Balancing Guideline	IDOA	Intraday Operational Agreement		Automated Frequency Restoration and	
EC	European Commission	IE	Ireland		Stable System Operation	
EE	Estonia	IEA	International Energy Agency	PL	Poland	
EECSP	Energy Expert Cyber Security Platform	IGCC	International Grid Control Cooperation	PT	Portugal	

IS

IT

JRC

Iceland

Joint Research Group

Italy

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

PV	Photovoltaic
R&D	Research and Development
R&I	Research and Innovation
RCC	Regional Coordination Centre
RES	Renewable Energy Source
RES-e	Electricity from Renewable Energy Source
RO	Romania
RfG	Requirements for Generators
RR	Replacement Reserve
RS	Russia
RSC	Regional Security Coordinator
SDAC	Single Day-Ahead Coupling
SE	Sweden
SGTF	Smart Grids Task Force
SI	Slovenia
SIDC	Single Intraday Coupling
SK	Slovakia
SO GL	System Operations Guideline
SWE	South West Europe
TERRE	Trans-European Restoration Reserves
	Exchange
TP	Transparency Platform
TR	Turkey
TSO	Transmission System Operators
TW	Terawatt
TWh	Terawatt Hours
TYNDP	Ten Year Network Development Plan
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
V2G	Vehicle to Grid
vRES	Variable Renewable Energy Source
XBID	Cross-Border Intraday Initiative
Y-0-Y	Year-on-Year

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

Notes

Notes

Disclaimer

All information in this report is verified to the best of the authors' and publishers' ability and based on sources accurate as at December 2018. Whilst we endeavour to provide accurate information, there can be no guarantee that this content will remain accurate, and as such the authors accept no responsibility for its use.

# **ABOUT ENTSO-E**

ENTSO-E, the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity, represents 43 electricity transmission system operators (TSOs)from 36 countries across Europe. ENTSO-E was established and given legal mandates by the EU's Third Legislative Package for the Internal Energy Market in 2009, which aims at further liberalising the gas and electricity markets in the EU.

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

This report was developed with the support of Ecuity and FleishmanHillard